NATIONAL WEEKLY

# Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan — May 19, 1941 — Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

# NAVY CONVOYS MEAN SHOOTING AND WAR!

(See Page 3)

## Soviet Russia to Get Empire in East as German Partner

(Story on Page 9)

## Reds Now Work Behind the Pro-War Committees

(See Page 7)

*Answer to Letter: Why Is Fr. Coughlin Silent?*

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ BIG 'JUBILEE' CONTEST NOW ON ★ ARE PAROCHIAL SCHOOLS DOOMED?

# Dinner Chat

THE CHURCH faces "dark days in the countries under Nazi domination, just as it faced dark days when enemies of religion sought to crush it in France more than a generation ago, and in Mexico, Spain and Soviet Russia in the more recent past," observes the *Catholic News* of New York, which adds:

"The Catholics of the United States never suggested that the United States declare war against those governments on that account then, although urging our Government to use any influence it might have to effect a change."

Catholics of the United States do not advocate this country's entry into war on their behalf now. An effort to arouse Catholics against the totalitarians, on the grounds of Fascist persecution of the Christian religion is, however, the newest interventionist dodge.

The propaganda, furthermore, is most blatant in those Red sheets notorious for their official indifference—not to say open opposition—to Catholic Christianity.

This journalistic quirk (for example *P.M.*) appears to be of a piece with the Communists' new tactic of advocating war for the United States. This new position of the American Communists — perhaps, a foreshadow of Stalin's more active war partnership — is discussed more fully elsewhere in this edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

**16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE**

**Liberty of conscience and education.**

**Just living annual wage.**

**Nationalization of important public resources.**

**Private ownership of all other property.**

**Control of private property for public good.**

**Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.**

**Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.**

**Cost of living maintained on an even keel.**

**Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.**

**Labor's right to organize.**

**Recall of non-productive bonds.**

**Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.**

**Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.**

**Simplification of Government and lower taxes.**

**Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.**

**Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.**

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937 at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor: Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized

Vol. 7 May 19, 1941 No. 20

## Pope's Blessing to Petain and France

RESPONDING to an impressive telegram of greeting sent from Lourdes by Marshal Henri Petain, head of the government of France, His Holiness Pope Pius XII has informed the marshal that the Holy See invokes "a great abundance of graces and blessings" on his person and on France.

Marshal Petain telegraphed the Holy Father as follows:

"From Lourdes' shrine I am particularly glad to recall the visit made there by Your Holiness as Papal Legate during the ceremonies of 1935. All Catholic nations prayed there for peace. With grateful feeling my thought today addresses itself to Your Holiness, begging you to accept the homage of my profound respect."

Pope Pius responded as follows:

"Deeply moved by your touching thought at the Massabielle Grotto, which recalls to Us with emotion the fervent prayer of the Lourdes Triduum at the closing of the Jubilee of the Redemption, We renew with sentiments of unshakable trust in the Immaculate Virgin Our supplication for the restoration of a peace of justice, benevolence and love, invoking on your person and on France a great abundance of graces and blessings."

## Chicago Parties for Father Coughlin

UNDER auspices of a "Father Coughlin Jubilee Group" a card and games party will be held in the commodious Gothic ballroom of the Madison Athletic Club, 4715 West Madison street, Chicago, on Saturday evening, May 24th, at 8 p.m. Valuable door and table prizes will be distributed, including a fine oil painting of Father Coughlin complete with gold leaf frame. The entire proceeds from the affair will be presented to Father Coughlin in honor of his 25th anniversary in the priesthood.

All street car lines connecting with Cicero or Madison street will bring prospective patrons direct to the door. There will be accommodation for 100 tables.

The committee on arrangements includes Mrs. Margaret Cahill, 5098 West Monroe street; Miss Tillie Schilling, 4350 Winchester avenue; Edward Rogers, 5915 West Erie street; Mrs. Charles A. Schneider, 4929 N. Leavit street.

* * *

On June 15th, at the Pythian temple, 6454 South Marshfield avenue, another Chicago group of friends will celebrate Father Coughlin's Jubilee by bringing to Chicago the Rev. Father Edward F. Brophy of Brooklyn, N. Y. Proceeds of the meeting will be presented as a gift to Father Coughlin.

Tickets for Father Brophy's talk may be obtained from Mr. John Donovan, 8024 Vernon avenue (Pullman 9476); Miss Eva Fleming, St. Peter's Church; Social Justice Agency, 2918 North Halsted street (Graceland 1316).

## Jubilee Pilgrimage

AN all-expense bus pilgrimage to the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak is to be conducted by Mr. John Donovan in co-operation with Mr. Ed Rogers, over Saturday and Sunday, June 28th and 29th.

The $10.50 rate includes two meals Saturday; lodging at the Tuller hotel in Detroit; two meals Sunday and a lunch on the road home. The party will leave Chicago at 8 a.m. Saturday from the bus station, 20 East Randolph street, with stops at 63rd and Stony Island avenue, and 79th and Stony Island; arriving back in Chicago about midnight on Sunday, June 29th.

For information and reservations wire or phone John Donovan, 8024 Vernon avenue (phone Pullman 9476).

## What Are You Doing?

LAST week we commented upon Father Coughlin's Silver Jubilee.

As a result, hundreds of letters came to our desk assuring us that the writers thereof, in co-operating with SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, would do their utmost to see that the silent Father Coughlin would be rewarded by their efforts. Truthfully, we are depending totally upon you, Mr. or Mrs. Reader, to secure at least one subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE before June 29th.

Do not adopt the attitude of "Let John do it."

Please go to your telephone or to your writing desk and get busy now.

150,000 new subscriptions is the total expected.

Poor Pete will be a pediculous prophet if his prediction is proven phooey.

## Dokter Pete Perskribes a Spring Tonik

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well how are y' all this week? I hope y' have 'scaped all those sore throats 'n colds that've been goin' th' rounds. 'R maybe y' haven't had th' littul cold snap we had. It sure played havuk with our office force while it lasted. Sevrul uv th' girls were home in bed f'r a cuppla days. They're back at work now, though. But they still look a littul pale around th' gills.

Bucky — th' new father — is lookin' jus' as ushual, however, in spite uv his new 'n hevy responsabilaties. It seems young Bob is a well behaved youngster f'r th' most part 'n very konsiderut uv his Dad. He does most uv his lung exersizes durin' th' day 'n sleeps through th' nite. Th' ideel arrangmunt, says Bucky. Uv course, not havin' seen th' Mother, I can't kwote her, but I 'spect she's just 's well pleezed with Bob's skedjool as Bucky is. One baby cryin' 'round th' house is enuf. Imajun what it'd be like t' have two of 'em!

Uv course, y' know without me tellin' y' th' O'Connor heir is th' swellest baby in th' world! Great things are ekspekted uv him. I probubly won't be around when he is big 'n strong enuf t' do all his fond parunt hopes he will, but it's my sinsere wish that he'll be abul t' live up t' some uv 'em.

Talkin' 'bout babies remin's me that one uv th' girls who usta work here f'r a long, long time 'n who now has nothin' t' do but stay at home 'n keep house 'n take care uv her littul girl was out t' see us last week. She brought her littul Kathie with her, uv course, t' be oh'ed 'n ah'ed over. She sure is a cute littul miss 'n our frien' Kay c'n be mighty proud uv her. All th' girls hadda hold her, y'know, 'n she was pretty good most uv th' time. But finully she must've felt like a football bein' passed from one t' th' other 'n she let her disgust be known. I thought t' myself she had plenty uv sense 'n I didn't blame her f'r 'spressin' her feelin's. She's jus' ten months old 'n as cute 's a bug's ear.

A few more uv our old girls have new sons 'n dautters, too. Wish they'd bring 'em out sometime. I'm kinda sassy lookin' 'n all that—big 'n awkwerd, too, but I'm jus' an old softie when it comes t' babies. They c'n pull my big long ears 'n yank my tail 'r poke my ribs 'r ennything they want 'n I don't mind it a bit.

* * *

Well, enuf 'bout other peepul's offspring. I'd better get busy 'n take care uv our own child — SOCIAL JUSTICE. Now he is doin' quite well, folks, but I'd like t' see his cirkulation pick up a bit. He's a good size youngster uv five Springs 'n we've got no komplaint 'bout his growth. It's been pretty steady, all in all. However, I'm thinkin' a few more new subskripshuns 'n renooals would help him a lot right now. Sort uv a Spring tonik, y' know.

Now jus' fill in th' littul blank down below 'n send it in t' SOCIAL JUSTICE.

What's that old sayin' 'bout an appul a day keeps th' dokter away? Well, we c'n change that around a bit 'n say that a subskripshun from you t'day 'll keep th' jitters away.

That's my perskripshun — I'll look t' you t' fill it.

— **Dokter Pete.**

**SUBSCRIPTION COUPON**

Date .....

Name .....

Address ..... City .....

State ..... Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

**SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan**

# Comment

## Convoys Mean Shooting —Shooting Means War

DEBATE on the convoy proposition occupies the minds of Congressmen.

Meanwhile, Mr. and Mrs. America, who are supposed to enjoy representative government, are *80 per cent against the convoy proposal.*

Whether or not this 80 per cent will be represented in the vote is problematical.

If the vote does not reflect the views of this vast majority, then democracy is only a word for politicians to conjure with.

There are many sides to the convoy issue. The advocates thereof want convoys to insure the delivery of American-made goods to England. That is their advertised propaganda.

Supposing the advertised propaganda were true—and it is not true, by and large —these advocates go on to explain that the reason they are interested in getting American-made goods into the hands of Englishmen is to prevent the defeat of the British Empire.

And their desire to prevent the defeat of the British Empire, so these advocates continue, is to prevent Nazi Germany from gaining control of the commerce of the world—specifically, of the commerce identified with South America.

Dr. Reinhold Niebuhr speaking on the Town Hall program (May 8) bluntly indicated the above thoughts as he spoke in defense of our aid for Britain.

His opponent, Mr. John T. Flynn, reminded the Rev. Doctor that motives based on trade and material profit—not upon justice and spiritual objectives — were hardly in keeping with the concepts of Christianity.

And what about South America and American trade? During the past twenty years the United States had done about $5-billion worth of business with South America. Of this amount, probably $1-billion was the profit derived therefrom.

How many years would be required for us to regain the loss of possibly $100-billion expended in war on the basis of the past twenty years?

The answer is obvious and the argument of retaining trade with South America limps very badly on that count.

On other counts it also limps. For example, are we to accept the theory that with all our executive ability, skilled workmen and flair for mass production, we can not compete with European traders—and beat them at their own game?

We will not be competing with slave labor, as the proponents of the *Convoy Bill* maintain, any more than we competed with slave labor in the past.

In the past, American wages were higher than English wages by 40 per cent and higher than the coolie labor of India and China—both controlled by Britain—by 70 per cent.

Despite that competition, American commerce and trade had little to worry about in competitive fields.

* * *

The second argument advanced by the proponents of the convoy system is related to the fear that Hitler will invade America.

Hitler can not invade America, even if he willed to do so; even if the last keel in the British navy were sunk or surrendered to him. For a successful invasion, Hitler would require more than 2,000 ships capable of landing at a given port within a week. Besides soldiers and military equipment, he would require a myriad of supply ships to bear food, ammunition and other necessaries for the conduct of a war.

And what would 130-million Americans do in the meantime — a meantime which, at least, is seven years distant after the fall of England.

Adolf Hitler has pledged his word that he has no designs upon North America. But, the advocates of the *Convoy Bill* contend that Hitler's words and pledges are written on thin ice. Because of Hitler's record, the convoy group is sure that Hitler will not keep his word; that Hitler will break his pledge.

Speaking of pledges, Mr. Roosevelt made a pledge — despite what Mrs. Roosevelt says — in his pre-election speech at Boston. His words were: "Fathers and Mothers, I give you one more assurance. I have said this before, but I shall say it again, and again: ***'Your boys are not going to be sent to any foreign war!'***"

That was Mr. Roosevelt's pledge and on that pledge he was elected. The people of America are depending upon him to keep it.

* * *

Now the Senator who steered the *Lend-Lease Bill* through the Senate admitted that, if the *Convoy Bill* passes, it means shooting; and shooting means war.

Secretaries Knox and Stimson have admitted as much.

The President has admitted as much.

Consequently, if the *Convoy Bill* passes, Mr. Roosevelt's pledge to the American people will be no better than Hitler's pledge to the American people.

In other words, Roosevelt would out-Hitler Hitler in breaking his promises.

If this promise is broken—as Mr. Roosevelt broke previous promises and pledges —it will be the last straw to break the back of democracy.

The American people rightfully, and righteously, will rise up against all politicians, campaign orators and professional promise makers.

* * *

The passage of the *Convoy Bill* will give to the American people more argument to rebel than was given to George Washington and his compatriots.

When the *Lend-Lease Bill* was passed its proponents who, for the most part, are the proponents of the *Convoy Bill,* said that its passage was the best way to keep America at peace and out of war.

Mr. Roosevelt seconded that theory.

The American people accepted his statement.

It was the same case when the *Neutrality Bill* was passed some months prior to the passage of the *Lend-Lease Bill.*

In fact, every step taken by Mr. Roosevelt since the declaration of war by Great Britain was advertised as a step designed to keep America at peace.

If the *Convoy Bill* passes; and if the *Convoy Bill* means shooting, and if shooting means war, then Mr. Roosevelt would prove to the world that his entire foreign policy has been a mistake from the beginning — such a serious mistake that, if he is a patriot, he will resign immediately lest his further occupancy of the President's chair will result in the total destruction of the American way of life.

We also recollect that when there was discussion of the *Lend-Lease Bill* it was argued by the President, by his Secretary and by supporting Congressmen that the theory behind aiding Great Britain was based on the proposition that the United States was unprepared to engage in a war, and that by aiding Great Britain America could gain time for preparation.

The *Lend-Lease Bill* passed. Immediately, 50 destroyers were handed over to

(Continued on Page 4)

### Index to Comment


Convoys Mean Shooting — Shooting Means War ..... Page 3

Bishop Hurley Speaks ..... Page 4

Father Coughlin; Communism — and the Catholic Universe Bulletin ..... Page 5

Editorials: Convoys and Candor; Lord Halifax Unfactual; Union Now ..... Page 6

Reds Working for War ..... Page 7

'War' as in Warburg; World Conquerors ..... Page 8

Russians to Get Eastern Empire; Nazi Coup Takes Iraq; Conant's Gas ..... Page 9

Winston Churchill vs. Wm. Randolph Hearst; Not Enough; Finance Settles a Strike ..... Page 12

Columbia Broadcasting System; Anthony Eden; British Food Commission; Senator Smith; Winchell on Lindbergh ..... Page 13

Buck Private Bucks Jingoists; Highland Park, Michigan ..... Page 14

Wheelhorse of Defense; Union Now — and Then ..... Page 15

Parochial Schools Doomed; W.P.A. and Church Taxation; Father James F. Gillis; Monsignor Fulton Sheen ..... Page 18

Jewish Author Has Plan to Destroy German Race ..... Page 19

Why a Food Blockade? ..... Page 20

## *"Fathers and Mothers, I give you one more assurance. I have said this before, but I shall say it again, and again: 'Your boys are not going to be sent to any foreign war!'"*

That is the pledge made to the American people by Franklin D. Roosevelt at Boston on October 20, 1940.

On that pledge he was elected to a third term in the Presidency.

Wire your President today to stand firmly by this pledge, and assure him that if he does so that you, the American people, will back him up!

Tremendous pressure is being brought to bear upon the President by members of his own official family – the Hitler-haters, the British, and the pro-war groups.

Let your letters and your telegrams assure the President that you appreciate this situation and the mighty responsibility that is his for peace. Let him know that you, the American people, *will stand behind him on his pledge to keep your sons out of a foreign war.*

Send your wire today.

Address your telegrams directly to **President Franklin D. Roosevelt, White House, Washington, D. C.**

# Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

Britain even when our own Navy was not ready to engage in modern naval warfare. We mean that the decks of our ships were not thoroughly protected with three-inch steel; that our merchant marine was not armed with efficient anti-aircraft guns.

But time, so it was argued, was gained by this transfer of 50 destroyers.

Again, we handed over a million rifles to Great Britain when our own soldiers were equipped with broom sticks.

Again, it was argued that time was gained by such action.

And now, throwing all the argument of time to the winds, we want to go to war unprepared.

* * *

We are more unprepared than most civilians suspect.

Our Army is unprepared. Our Navy is unprepared. Our morale is unprepared.

Senator Pepper of Florida, despite the apparent unpreparedness which characterizes American defense, wants us, in the best Hitler fashion, to bomb Tokio, hold Singapore and fight a seven-ocean battle, when the authorities of Government have not yet finished telling us that we are unprepared even to defend ourselves against an attack.

It is time for the United States to face realities and discard fairy stories. It is time, provided we have grown up.

Those who take exceptions to these arguments are those who want to go to war and not fight; are those who are inclined to believe swivel chair colonels; are those who avoid counting the cost; are those who are more pro-Bank of England than they are pro-American; are those whose souls burn more with hate for Germany than with love for the United States.

It is our opinion that the pro-convoy, pro-war faction in this country — a minority — are simply using the "all aid for Britain" and the convoy arguments to get America into war so that, if and when England falls, the British royalty and diplomatic forces can move to our shores and continue this world war for another ten years until Christian civilization will have been so badly impaired that the voice of Christians will be censored into silence by the apostles of Marxism.

The *Mothers of America* and the *America First Committee*, together with all other patriots who incline towards our viewpoint, should act immediately.

How can this action be organized?

By sending millions of telegrams to the President of the United States.

By demonstrating persistently and forcefully in their local communities.

By besieging with letters their local newspapers.

By *every method available and lawful as a free people ever employed.*

Violent action has been taken against the majority of people of America by a minority of promise-making politicians, together with a minority dominated by British and Jewish propaganda.

That attack must be made in kind — today and tomorrow — a month from today or tomorrow will be too late.

## Bishop Hurley Speaks

BISHOP Joseph P. Hurley of St. Augustine, in addressing a convention at Florida, gave utterance to some ponderable remarks. After declaring that "those who drum on the theme of America's badness — its decadence, its paganism, its depravity" — may be doing a disservice to this nation, His Excellency suavely side-swiped several unnamed Catholic publicists, Catholic newspapers and periodicals.

For what reason? Because they are following the Berlin-Moscow party line.

Said the Bishop — and we quote from *The Register:*

" 'May I add, by way of candid criticism directed against a few of our national Catholic weeklies and reviews, that we Catholics will be unwise if we entrust any of our part in the work of national healing to practitioners whose only merit is their mastery of the acids of vituperation?'

"Bishop Hurley admitted that Communism is 'still our enemy,' but added that in point of 'urgency, if not in point of teaching, Communism has now ceded its primacy (as an enemy) to National Socialism. Curiously enough, and let this be pondered, it has been through Communist complacency and help that Naziism has spread its devastation across the face of the West. Curiously enough, and let this be deeply meditated, these men who would have us spend all our energies combating Communism are now in reality following the Moscow party line.'

"That line, he explained, is to confuse Americans, to promote racial and religious hatred, and to sabotage American morale. 'I deeply regret to say,' the Florida prelate asserted, 'that some good Americans, among them a few Catholic publicists, are now following this Berlin-Moscow party line.'

"The Bishop said that 'the most serious insidious attack on our national spirit' is that by those who have consistently opposed national defense efforts."

* * *

The reading public would desire, perchance, to have His Excellency identify these dangerous Catholic publicists who are following the Berlin-Moscow party line. If that is their accepted policy, the bishop not only has a right but a duty to signal them out by name — a duty in which he dare not be remiss.

As far as the danger to America from Communism is concerned, we reiterate that Communism is nothing more than a manifestation of Marxism. And this we know from investigation, as well as does any ecclesiastic in this nation.

Perhaps the difficulty in some circles lies in the possibility that many persons can not identify Marxism when they see it. In their pre-conceived notions it is possible for them to mistake the part for the whole, or the whole for the part, forgetting that the nefarious Communism which was produced in Russia in 1917 was but one sector of the rotten, maggoty mass which was paid for by international bankers resident both in Germany and in America and who are still patronized in the highest circles.

In Spain it was the Loyalists who were the Marxists — the same Loyalists who were supported at one time by a series of articles appearing in the Chicago Catholic *New World* and by unpublished letters from eminent individuals — letters which still remain in the archives of an embassy at Washington; letters, copies of which may have fallen into the hands of persons not too safe to be trusted with such secrets.

In Germany, it is Naziism — the current Naziism which now holds the upper hand over both Communism and Loyalism.

And in America, this Marxism is flagrantly appearing under another title and operated under other auspices which too many individuals either refuse to see or can not see.

It is true that Naziism is a problem for the entire Church, for the entire world.

It is just as true that the new species which is coming to maturity in our midst — and it is not Naziism — should be of greater concern to American Christians.

# Comment

## Father Coughlin

*"Editor of* SOCIAL JUSTICE,
SOCIAL JUSTICE *Magazine,*
*Royal Oak, Michigan.*

"Dear Sir:

"I have been a reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE for some time and I must say, you certainly do print facts that are startling to all Americans.

"But I cannot quite understand your purpose of late. For several weeks your theme song has been, 'I told you so.' Father Coughlin predicted this, and Father Coughlin predicted that, and so on. Why doesn't he *do something about it?* These predictions of his that have come true, have boosted his stock sky high, and the American people are well conditioned for one of his superb orations.

"You claim we need a Lincoln or another Webster to lead the people out of the groove of war and destruction. The citizens of the United States have never been so divided since the Civil War. One side, the minority, want war. They are small in numbers but ever so powerful. They are well organized and are doing a pretty good job in their drive to bring war to our shores.

"The other side, the majority, are sincere and God-fearing people who want nothing but peace and justice in their pursuit of happiness. They know what they want but don't know how to go about getting it. They are without a Webster or a Lincoln in their hour of need — they are leaderless.

"Where could they find a man more qualified than Father Coughlin? But what is he doing? He sits back like a pouting child who has just been spanked! Maybe the war-mongers and international bankers did put him off the air, maybe ecclesiastical authority did tell him what he couldn't say over the radio, but is that any reason for a red-blooded American to fold up completely?

"No, Lindbergh is carrying on despite the great wave of opposition he is receiving, opposition that is just as intense as any Father Coughlin has ever received. His predictions of several years ago also came true but is he crying, 'I told you so'? No, he is much too big for that. He is far above such childish actions. He doesn't ask his followers to join a contest to make him a gift because his prediction came true. He carries on the great fight because he is a real American.

"But Lindbergh is not in the same class with Father Coughlin as an orator, and an orator is what the peace-loving Americans need for a leader. Father Coughlin's Godly gifts should be helping his people in their present plight instead of remaining hidden in smug silence.

"If Lindbergh can carry on, so can Father Coughlin.

"Very truly yours,
"Edward F. Gill."

*Woburn, Mass.*

* * *

For the benefit of Mr. Gill and others, Father Coughlin is not sitting back pouting.

Father Coughlin wants to remain a priest in good standing.

If he were a priest in bad standing, his enemies would say that he was not only anti-Roosevelt, anti-Communist, anti-Semite but that he is anti-Catholic.

Father Coughlin is so circumstanced that he cannot remain a priest in good standing unless he submits humbly to all the orders which he receives from Archbishop Mooney.

Most certainly he does not enjoy the freedom of expression which Monsignor Ryan enjoys or which thousands of other priests enjoy.

If Father Coughlin enjoyed the same freedom which was his several years ago, he would not only be out fighting as Lindbergh is doing. Probably he would be the first consignee to a concentration camp before he would submit to the passage of the *Lend-Lease Bill* or the *Convoy Bill.*

Under present circumstances, he has no alternative. He refuses to speak over the radio if he is forced by his superiors to "pull his punches."

---

## Communism — and the *Catholic Universe Bulletin*

WE NOTE that Clarence J. Enzler, who carefully parades a Ph.D. after his name, writes an article in the *Catholic Universe Bulletin* to tell us that Communism isn't gaining fast.

In his introductory paragraph he states: "I note in one of our less reputable papers that a remarkably well-informed prelate of the Church does not think Communism is 'gaining fast' in this country. Since this prelate possesses an unparalleled combination of profound knowledge and sound judgment in his particular field . . . it strikes me that his opinion deserves better treatment by far than the less reputable paper accords it."

Mr. Enzler — Ph.D. — overlooks the fact that the prelate to whom he refers smeared the *Brooklyn Tablet,* SOCIAL JUSTICE and Father Coughlin as "fakers." He carefully omits referring to this lack of etiquette manifested by this "remarkably well-informed prelate of the Church" — as most Ph.D's should.

It was a prelate — an indignant prelate — who brought Mr. Enzler's — Ph.D — remark to our attention. And this prelate does not want to get into the controversy for fear journalists like Mr. Enzler might call him a "less reputable" prelate, which innuendo might be employed in so far as only those are reputable prelates, evidently, who side in with Monsignor Ryan.

All of which is alarming and discouraging in so far as it shows a sad lack of unity and presages an out and out showdown sooner or later.

Mr. Enzler, in the *Catholic Universe Bulletin,* writes as follows:

"Numerous indications are at hand to show that Communism certainly is not 'gaining fast' in this country. Not the least of these indications is the trend of the vote in the last three Presidential elections. The Communist ticket polled 103,000 votes in 1932, 80,000 in 1936 and less than 49,000 in 1940. The same trend exists with respect to the Socialist (Norman Thomas) vote. In 1932 the Socialist Thomas received 884,000 votes; in 1936, 187,000, and last year less than 117,000. This declining trend becomes still a little more significant when you recall that more persons voted in 1940 than in 1936, and in 1936 than in 1932.

"Now these statistics, of course, do not prove definitely that Communism and Socialism are rapidly declining in the United States. But certainly they give a vigorous kick in the trousers to the contention that Communism is running through us in seven league boots."

Even *dilettantes* are aware that there are very few Communists in Russia — fewer than one-tenth of the people.

Until recently it was recognized that the number of Communists in Germany was merely incidental.

May we remind Mr. Enzler — Ph.D. — that Communism is only a species of Marxism. Since the signing of the Russian-German pact, Communism has so declined that one doubts whether or not Josef Stalin is a Communist at this writing; that one questions whether or not Harry Bridges and many of the leaders in the C.I.O. are Communists.

Personally, this commentator is of the opinion that they are not "Communists."

But — and this is important — they are imbued with Marxian ideas and principles as are millions of Americans at this moment — Americans inside the Church and outside the Church; Americans in politics and out of politics.

* * *

Mr. Enzler — Ph.D — in quoting the figures contained in the reprinted excerpt, is begging the question.

The main question is how many Americans believe in the nationalization of industry; in the naturalistic concept of life; in the centralization of government through the hypocrisy of a rubber stamp Congress, Parliament or Assembly; and in the *egalitarian* (equality for all) theories propounded by Karl Marx?

Mr. Enzler's comment relative to Communism could be paralleled by a statement to the effect that there are few Angles in England; there are few Saxons in England; there are few Normans in England.

The Anglo-Saxon-Norman question in England long since has evaporated and has been replaced by the question, how many Englishmen are in England?

Definitely, we Americans are not concerned with Communism. But most definitely we are concerned with Marxism which flowered as Communism in Russia, as Naziism in Germany, as Loyalism in Spain.

Incidentally, will it flower under a different name in this country — the name of New Dealism?

If so, it is not expected it will be characterized by all the crudities which accompanied the birth of Communism. But it is expected the basics of Marxism — including the regimentation of churchmen in their social activities — will materialize faster than Mr. Enzler — Ph.D — anticipates.

Dr. Wirt, some years back, accused this Government of following in the footsteps of Karl Marx. For so doing he was discredited. Nevertheless, he and others who entertained such opinions lived to see the day when our Congress lost its independence just as surely as did the German Reichstag; when our national policy veered from private ownership; when our courts blinked at the atrocities committed in the name of labor.

No one who has studied the philosophy

(Continued on Page 9)

NATIONAL WEEKLY

# Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

*Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin*

## Convoys and Candor

UNDER the above caption the *Detroit News* prints a revealing comment from its Washington correspondent, Mr. Jay Hayden.

The main reason, probably, why President Roosevelt has not fallen in with the almost hysterical demand for American naval convoys, persistently pressed upon him and advocated in public by members of his own Cabinet, was revealed by the astonishing letter which Admiral Emory S. Land, chairman of the Maritime Commission, sent to Senator Vandenberg.

The Admiral reported that of 205 ships clearing from American ports for the United Kingdom in the first three months of 1941, *only eight have been sunk.*

"At one stroke," comments Mr. Hayden, "Admiral Land exploded the contention upon which the appeal for convoys has been based — that American supplies for Great Britain increasingly are being sent to the bottom.

"Certainly this statement of Admiral Land's is in sharp contrast with the dire assertion that Great Britain faces defeat in the Battle of the Atlantic unless we not only furnish but deliver our supplies to her, which has been coming almost daily from other Administration spokesmen," Mr. Hayden reports.

"More than that," the writer adds, "the Land statement leaves the impression that *both the American and British governments have been lacking in candor.*

"Weekly reports of British shipping losses have been discontinued with the statement that these will be announced monthly. An effect of this was to give free rein to unofficial rumors."

News reports have asserted that *"40 per cent of American war supplies, destined for Great Britain, were being sunk."*

It was left for an anti-interventionist Senator to smoke out the facts, Mr. Hayden discloses.

Persistent questioning of British Embassy officials, and American sources other than the Maritime Commission, failed to find anyone willing to dispute the accuracy of Admiral Land's figure (8 ships out of 205).

What the American people are entitled to be told — and should be told — is the amount and character of American war supplies that are being lost in transit to Great Britain. And the information ought to be *honest!*

---

## Lord Halifax Unfactual

LORD HALIFAX and his lady journeyed to Chicago (May 8) to find out what the people of America thought of the all-aid-for-Britain — including convoys — program. Definitely, he avoided speaking at a public meeting.

Diplomatically, he spoke before a chosen people known as *"The Commercial Group."*

From this select sounding board, newspaper men were barred.

But the British ambassador had the effrontery — as had some journalists — to advertise that *"Chicago Greets Halifax,"* intimating that the little handful of members belonging to *The Commercial Group* represented all the millions of persons resident in the second largest city in the Western Hemisphere.

Clumsily — as usual — His Lordship, in his hotel room, received several favored reporters and read for them a passage of his "Black Chamber speech." He said: "In the long run, the 70-million people of the British Empire and the 135-million people of the United States will amass too much power for the 85-million Germans and the 45-million half-loyal Italians."

Why does this diplomatic genius, commander-in-chief of the British invasion forces, insist on saying "70-million people of the British Empire"?

When did India with its slave population of 350-million cut all ties with the British Empire?

When did Mr. Churchill grant freedom to the oppressed populations of India?

If there were any loyalty in the British Empire — including India — the 350-million Indians would be working in the lines of production for the dear motherland.

At last, evidently, the lie about "democracy" has caught up with perfidious Albion.

His Lordship, to say the least, is unfactual.

---

AN editorial quipster has been inspired by the recent Lindbergh smear to dedicate this one to President Roosevelt:

*"People who live in White Houses should not throw mud."*

---

## Union Now

MOST LIKELY you are not up to date on the steps being taken at London and at Washington to effect a "union now" between Great Britain and the United States.

The idea is so foreign to Americans whose forefathers shed their blood in 1776 to establish this nation that the publicists and the New Deal Government will not permit much discussion on this subject.

Nevertheless, there are those who are working might and main to dissolve the independent entity of the United States of America and fuse it — or, rather, confuse it — with that of Britain.

Last April 3rd, Wm. Craven-Ellis, conservative member of Parliament from Southampton, formally asked Prime Minister Churchill "whether he will suggest to President Roosevelt that the United States of America and the British Commonwealth of Nations should jointly consider entering into a solemn act of union."

According to Mr. Craven-Ellis, union would "provide for the United States of America and the British Commonwealth of Nations becoming one nation with joint organs of foreign, financial, and economic policies; and with citizens of the United States of America enjoying citizenship with the British Commonwealth of Nations; and every British subject enjoying citizenship of the United States of America."

Mr. Churchill's reply is awaited with interest in political circles — a reply which, likely, already has been given in private to Mr. Roosevelt and his mentor, Mr. Frankfurter; a reply which will not be made public to the American people until we will find ourselves up to our chins in the blood bath of this war.

Already the "union-nowists" have devised a new flag for common use amongst Britishers and Americans. In the upper left-hand corner there are the Stars and Stripes. The main field of the flag is a series of small Union Jacks.

Already a new emblem, to replace our American eagle, has been distributed by the Federal Union group in America.

It is in the form of a gold globe around which there is encircled a red band neatly tied in a bow knot. This quite appropriately indicates how the Union Nowists want to control the world through the bondage of gold.

All this appears to be fantastic. Nevertheless, it represents a scheme that is now on President Roosevelt's desk; a scheme which the Frankfurters, Baruchs, Warburgs, Hulls, etc., etc., will execute within the next seven years unless there is a definite revulsion to it on the part of those Americans who still believe in the principles of our founding fathers.

If the time arrives when the United States can not get along without tying herself to the apron strings of Britannia, it is time for this nation to dissolve.

**Behind the 'Committees'**

# Reds Working for War

THE POLITICS of the Comintern are as bewildering and contradictory as the diplomacy of the Kremlin. Communists throughout the world are, seemingly, working counter to their comrades in the international.

There is one consistency — under cover and continuously, the Communist party in every country works contrary to the will of the people with a view to overthrowing their governments in red revolution.

Communists in Britain have formulated a program of peace designed to embarrass the government. The Churchill-Bevin government suspended the Communist publications, arrested known British Communists and drove the party underground.

Lately, however, millions of mimeographed peace pamphlets and throw-aways have been circulated throughout England. Agents from the political division of Scotland Yard discovered that these pamphlets were published and disseminated by Communists. Agents of "the Yard" have rounded up many more Communists who had fled underground when the British government started its purge.

* * *

In America, however, the Communists, together with their fellow travelers, innocents and stooges, clamor loudly for America's immediate entrance into Britain's war. The Moscow-directed Reds see an opportunity to foist upon America a Marxist-collectivist economy as a wartime measure. They even boldly predict a defeat for America and in this defeat they see their opportunity for the hoped-for "revolution."

Hence, the comrades in great numbers are now joining war-mongering committees. The same radicals and "liberals" who, a few months ago, were associated with peace committees are now so war-minded that they have all but taken over control of the war committees.

Headquarters for the invention and dissemination of war propaganda are located in New York City and Washington, D. C. At the nation's Capital it is said jocosely — but no less truthfully — that there are *more Englishmen in Washington than when it was burned by the British!*

* * *

Once more, America is experiencing the same type of propaganda that was used with success in the World War, and so well described by Arthur Ponsonby, M. P., in his book *"Falsehood in War Time."*

He wrote:

"The public can be worked up emotionally by sham ideals. A sort of collective hysteria spreads and rises until it finally gets the better of sober people and reputable newspapers. With a warning before them, the common people may be more on their guard when the war cloud next appears on the horizon and less disposed to accept as truth rumors, explanations and pronouncements issued for their consumption. They should realize that a Government which has decided on embarking on the hazardous and terrible enterprise of war must at the outset present a one-sided case in justification of its action, and cannot afford to admit in any particular degree of right or reason on the part of the people it has made up its mind to fight.

"Facts must be distorted, relevant circumstances concealed, and a picture presented by which its crude coloring will persuade the ignorant people that their Government is blameless, their cause righteous, and that the indisputable wickedness of the enemy has been proved beyond question.

"A moment's reflection would tell any reasonable person that such an obvious bias cannot possibly represent the truth. But a moment's reflection is not allowed; lies are circulated with great rapidity. The unthinking mass accepts them and by their excitement sway the rest. The amount of humbug and rubbish that pass under the name of patriotism in war time in all countries is sufficient to make decent people blush when they are subsequently disillusioned."

* * *

Hundreds of "organizations" and thousands of *cliques* have been set up throughout the country, all working to the one end of putting this nation into the war. These pro-war organizations have lately been correlated and their functions coordinated in the *Fight for Freedom Committee.*

This group is headed by Ulric Bell, former Washington correspondent of the *Louisville Courier-Journal.* Mr. Bell is reportedly a good newspaperman who knows the right persons and the "ropes" in official Washington. Last October, he came to New York and opened an office at 11 West 42nd Street, with Francis P. Miller, a well-known internationalist.

The owner of the *Louisville Courier-Journal* is Barry Bingham, son of the former ambassador to Great Britain, and a long-time resident of England. In February or March of this year, Mr. Bell moved his office to Rockefeller Center, Room 309. There a large expansion took place. The offices were elaborate, five trunk lines were installed, the staff increased, and Mr. Bell went to work in earnest getting this country into England's war.

This very efficient correlating committee was set up around a nucleus of Henry Pitney van Dusen and Henry Coffin of Columbia University; Bishop Tucker and Bishop Henry Hobson of the Anglican Church; and Professor William F. Agar also of Columbia, a brother of the publisher of the *Louisville Courier-Journal.*

This committee succeeded in drawing into its network most of the "War Now" Committees and in setting itself up as the transmission belt of British propaganda.

Recently, the central group set up the *Fight for Freedom Committee* taking in everyone: anti-Nazis, Anglophiles, politicians, financiers, profiteers, Communists, fellow travelers, dupes, stooges, sore-heads and trouble-makers, in fact, anybody and everybody pushing for war in America.

* * *

Of the membership of this *Fight for Freedom Committee,* Mr. William Allen White spoke several months ago, when he could no longer stomach the deceptive methods of the propagandists and resigned as chairman of the original *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies.*

Said Mr. White:

"In two of our chapters — *New York and Washington* — we have a bunch of war-mongers, and under our organization we have no way to oust them, and I just can't remain at the head of an organization which is being used by these chapters to ghost dance for war."

* * *

Whereas the *Fight for Freedom Committee* is established for the *bourgeoisie,* the *Student Defenders of Democracy* is organized for the *proletariat.* The latter organization sends out Mr. Bell's handouts and press releases, in addition, of course, to sponsoring its own subversive program of getting the United States into war and of starving the democracies.

This *"Student Defenders"* organization has an office at 8 West 40th Street, and the office is presided over by — guess who! Yessir, "Jack" Spivak, the smear-snooper "reporter" for the Communists' *Daily Worker* and *New Masses.* Spivak, you recall, is also a member and ex-official of the *Jewish People's Committee Against Fascism and anti-Semitism.*

Associated with Spivak in the New York office is Joseph Lash, leader of the Communist and fellow-traveler group known as the *American Youth Congress.*

Dr. Ray Lyman Wilbur, president of Leland Stanford University, recently resigned from the Students' committee. The California educator wrote:

"There has come into my hands an undated article attacking the feeding or proposed feeding of women and children of the democratic nations, under a heading naming your organization. When I gave my support last January to your organization I understood you were planning *to defend democracy not to allow it to be starved to death.*

"Any plan of human conduct that sanctions starving of babies has in it such hideous elements that I can see in it no advance for civilization, democracy or any phase of humanity. I feel that you have betrayed the confidence I had in your organization and ask for the immediate withdrawal of my name from your list."

## Franklin Roosevelt *vs.* Earl Browder

EARL BROWDER has been committed to a Federal penitentiary because he used a fraudulent passport.

Recently he said: "They say I used a fraudulent passport. It happens that the passport was in my own name and merely secured me entry into the United States to which I was completely entitled with or without a passport. Nobody was deceived, nobody injured.

"But there are some false passports which do great injury . . . If my kind of crime rates four years in prison, I wonder what should be the punishment for a man who got a 'false passport' by deceiving the whole American people?

"I refer to Franklin D. Roosevelt, who got his passport for a third term by promising to keep America out of this imperialist war, and then violated that promise."

**An International Banker's Cycle**

# 'War' as in Warburg

THE LAST name of James Paul Warburg quite appropriately carries the accent on the first syllable.

Rung by rung in the last two years this international banker, political propagandist and amateur poet has nimbly climbed the ladder of intervention until he is now an advocate of outright war.

He has joined committees to aid every country but the United States.

He has put his signature to scores of open letters to "wake up America."

He has dashed off a number of pro-British books at the drop of a hat.

Most recently, he has become the leading brain truster of the Fight for Freedom Committee, which proclaims, "We are at war now!"

Two weeks ago in answer to a speech by Colonel Lindbergh, Mr. Warburg supported this statement by saying, "There is no doubt of what America is eventually going to do. America is going to fight."

* * *

More than seven years ago, on January 16, 1934, Mr. Warburg answered another speech—a radio address by Father Coughlin which blamed the international bankers as being partially responsible for the First World War.

"Do you really believe," asked Mr. Warburg sarcastically, "the war was started by bankers? Do you really believe that bankers pushed the Government into selling the 'bloody bonds'?"

Mr. Warburg's present activities answer his own question.

In 1934, when Mr. Warburg's brain child, a modernized international gold standard system, was not threatened, he viewed war for the United States in a different light.

In October of that year he spoke as follows before the Chicago Council of Foreign Relations:

"We must recognize as a fact that *war is the one thing which our present economic order cannot stand without serious collapse.*

"Almost all wars arise, I think, from one of two fundamental causes: competition for economic advantage between nations, or economic distress within one nation, *which causes its rulers to seek relief or diversion by fighting another nation.*"

Mr. Warburg might have added that economic causes also motivate rich citizens to urge their own countries into war in order to protect their personal *status quo.*

* * *

At present Mr. Warburg is reportedly president of three different corporations and a director of the Bank of the Manhattan Company, Polaroid Corp., Alfol Insulation Co., and several railroad lines.

Warburg's family connections, however, are even more important than his financial affiliations.

Solomon Loeb, his maternal grandfather, was the founder of the international banking house of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. On his father's side he stems from the owners of the former German-Jewish banking firm, M. M. Warburg Company, established in Hamburg in 1798.

Mr. Warburg himself was born in Hamburg and was brought over to America in 1902. He was graduated from Harvard in 1916 and immediately entered the business of his ancestors.

In 1935 he married the daughter of Joseph Clark Baldwin, newly elected Congressman from New York, who like his son-in-law is all in favor of war and convoys.

* * *

Just as Warburg has changed his opinion of war in recent years, so has he adopted different views toward democracy and American politics.

In 1932, under the pseudonym of Paul James, he wrote the following poem in a book of verse entitled, *"Shoes and Ships and Sealing Wax":*

*"When I see the hypocrisy*
*And the feeble endeavor*
*And the futile blundering*
*Of a so-called democracy*
*I can't help wondering,—*
*Why did we ever*
*Act so willfully*
*In ejecting kings*
*And the aristocracy*
*Who only did these little things*
*More skillfully?"*

As for young Mr. Warburg's about-face in regard to politics, it is fitting to quote from his book *"Hell Bent for Election,"* published in 1935.

"The extraordinary part about Mr. Roosevelt," he wrote, "is the extent to which the whole pattern of his living and thinking is woven around his emotional desires, predilections, and prejudices.

"I think Mr. Roosevelt's nature is such as to make him, in the sense of party politics, *an ideal public candidate for office, but an ineffective and dangerous incumbent, once he is elected.*

"And further, I think that those qualities of showmanship rather than statesmanship, which Mr. Roosevelt possesses and which may have been useful in the winter of 1932-33, are certainly not the qualities which will be needed in the White House from here on."

Now, in 1941, Mr. Warburg stands out as one of Mr. Roosevelt's most ardent supporters.

Now, in 1941, Mr. Warburg, the anti-democrat, has become one of democracy's loudest crusaders.

Now, in 1941, Mr. Warburg, the pacifist, has emerged as one of the nation's most vociferous war-mongers.

## Okay Rothschild

WHO was it said you can't put a million dollars in jail?

A United Press dispatch from Vichy some days ago reports that French citizenship and his confiscated fortune were about to be restored to Philip de Rothschild.

Thirty-eight year old son of Henry de Rothschild of the Frankfort branch of the family, Philip was a ground officer in the French air force. He was on "sick leave" before the *blitzkrieg* and broke his leg skiing.

"Transported to Biarritz, he was in a hospital when the French lines broke," the dispatch explains.

"Failing in an effort to rejoin his squadron, he went to Morocco and was deprived of his citizenship for leaving the country. In restoring his citizenship and fortune, the government decided that *he did what he could* to rejoin his squadron."

## World Conquerors

THE FORGOTTEN war-monger of America speaks:

"The best blood of the earth is here—a variated blood of strong, indomitable men and women brought here by visions of wider lives.

"But this blood will remain a clean, Aryan blood because there are no hordes of inferior races about us to sweep over us and debase our stock."

The above prose might have been taken from the exotic racial philosophy of Adolf Hitler.

It comes, however, from a book published by Macmillan in 1910, and called *"The Old Order Changeth."*

The author was none other than William Allen White, the Sage of Emporia, Kansas.

The same William Allen White who helped to found the Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies, which has now become the most vociferous foe of Naziism in America.

The same "democratic-loving" William Allen White who mysteriously resigned as chairman of the Committee last January when its war shouts grew a little too importunate.

Perhaps the real reason for Mr. White's resignation was fear of exposure of his own Fascist writings.

Here are some more of this Sage's opinions:

"It is the Anglo-Saxon's manifest destiny to go forth in the world as a *world conqueror.* He will take possession of all the islands of the sea.

"He will *exterminate the people he cannot subjugate.*

"That is what fate holds for the chosen people. It is so written. Those who would protest will find their objections overruled.

"It is to be."

* * *

Is it possible that a man who believes America's destiny is to conquer the world would flinch at the appeals to war of his own followers?

It is more likely that the intelligent Mr. White feared that the banner of aggression which he waved in the past would rise up and overshadow his new opportunistic flag of freedom and democracy.

Mr. White may have thought it best to retire to private life before being marked as one of the sorriest hypocrites in American history.

By a not so strange coincidence, his anti-democratic doctrines, which were exposed on the Senate floor recently by Senator Nye, were not printed in any newspaper except the non-interventionist *New York Enquirer*, which appears only on Sundays.

# Comment

**Behind the War**

## Russians to Get Eastern Empire

THE PURPOSE behind the Russo-Japanese non-aggression pact is becoming apparent in Soviet Russia's transfer of troops from the Far East to the Near Eastern war theater.

It is now quite apparent that von Ribbentrop, Schulenberg, and Hitler applied pressure to Vishniski, Molotov and Stalin, practically forcing them to sign the non-aggression pact with Japan, Germany's eastern ally.

The Nazi triumphs in the Balkans convinced the Soviet that the old Russian dream of the Dardanelles was damned to unfulfillment.

The U.S.S.R. then took the next best — *a plan to carve out an empire in the Near East as the "partner" of Nazi Germany.*

Thus, much to the surprise of Foreign Minister Matsuoka, Stalin signed the non-aggression agreement in ten minutes!

* * *

To date, Soviet Russia has carved out its "empire," for the most part, as a non-belligerent by seizing parts of Poland, Finland and Roumania, and the whole of Esthonia, Latvia and Lithuania, not to speak of Northern China.

Now (in early May), as a neutral non-belligerent, Russia is prepared to move into Iran for a march on the Mosul oil fields, and a drive to the Gulf of Omar and the Persian Gulf.

The warm water ports furnished by old Persia are a tempting bait for the Russian jackal; especially since the coveted warm drink at the Dardanelles ports has been frustrated by the Nazi tiger.

As soon, therefore, as the victory in Greece and Jugoslavia was assured, and Turkey's immobilization became probable, Soviet Russia's troops moved to the Iran borders, reputedly to "protect the Baku-Batum oil pipeline."

To counter this move, British troops were transferred to Basra on the Persian gulf.

* * *

When and if Soviet Russia moves against Iran, the Reds will function as one salient of the big German drive against the British Empire in the Near East. Russia will also be in a position to resume the drive on Afghanistan and India, projected early in the war.

It is well to remember — as related months ago in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine — that Hitler sketched for Molotov a map of Russia's "empire in the East" to comprise Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan, Hindustan and India, together with parts of China and Tibet. Such were the territories which the "Lord of the World" (Hitler) promised to Stalin if Soviet Russia would co-operate with the Axis to destroy the British Empire.

And now, while Russia operates as a partner of Germany against the British Empire in the Near East, Japan will assail the empire in the Far East.

As a result of the recent trade agreement between Turkey and the Reich, Germany plans to transport an army through Turkey to Iran.

A third army — the second Nazi salient — will drive along the coastline of Libya and Egypt. This Nazi-Fascist army is already in the field and operating against Tobruk and Sallum, after capturing Benghazi, Derna and Bardia.

Still another army — the third Nazi salient — will follow the inland route from Tripoli via the oases in the Libyan and Egyptian deserts to drive on the Nile.

The last German army, based in French Syria, will attempt a landing on the Egyptian coast for a direct drive up the Nile, with Suez as ultimate objective.

In his recent address (April 27th), Prime Minister Churchill warned his hearers that the empire could expect disquieting and sad news from Africa. He prophetically warned that more defeats were to come in Egypt.

Mr. Churchill, you may remember, said that *even though Egypt and the Near East were lost, the war would not be lost.*

---

## Nazi *Coup* Takes Iraq

HITLER'S campaign in the Balkans was only preliminary to the Nazi drive through the Near East to the oil fields. But while this military campaign is going on, a diplomatic campaign has conquered Iraq from within by a *coup d'etat.*

Just as Austria fell, not on the day the German legions entered Vienna, but on the day that Seyss Inquart was made Minister of the Interior; so history may one day disclose that Iraq was conquered when a *coup* put Rashid Ali Beg Gailani into power to replace ex-Premier Taha al Hashimi, and Prince Regent Abdul Illah was forced to flee.

The story of this *coup* did not merit more than a few lines in British-dominated American newspapers, but it was big enough news judging from the panic it created within the British Cabinet.

This shift in government was summarily attributed to "rivalry between Moslem sects." But, finally, the truth was revealed: no Moslem group had engineered the *coup*, but German agents had.

The difference between Taha al Hashimi and Rashid Ali is, roughly, much the same difference which exists between Admiral Darlan and Pierre Laval. Both hate the British, but the first have some principle and morality.

When the dissidents in the Iraqui government actually move against the Mosul oil fields, the world will see a new phase of this war.

British colonials will be called from Palestine to meet the threat.

And while America and Britain play to restore Zion to the Jews, the Nazis will be working on the Arabs and Moslems of the East.

---

## Conant's Gas

AS PRESIDENT of Harvard University, James B. Conant is a veritable man mountain of blue-nosed respectability.

When he calls for war over a nation-wide radio hookup — as he did last week — instead of being labeled a "traitor" or a British hireling, he is hailed by the press as a "distinguished scholar."

When he goes to England on a secret mission — as he did a few months ago — his trip, according to newspapers, is purely a "scientific" one.

Nourished on this type of flattering propaganda, the public has almost come to believe that Dr. Conant is a kind of twentieth-century Aristotle, a wise and humane academician, whose every word drips with priceless wisdom.

Turn time backwards some twenty-three years, however, and the picture of the scholar and professor loses focus.

As a young officer in the U. S. Army's Chemical Warfare Service on July 12, 1918, James B. Conant made his most important contribution to civilization.

What was this contribution?

A treatise on Shakespeare? A new and startling system of ethical philosophy? A masterful translation of a Greek play? A documented account of everyday life in Lower Egypt in the year 3,000 B. C.?

Hardly.

On July 12, 1918, Dr. Conant, then a rising chemist, invented a formula which made possible for the first time the factory production of G 34, otherwise known as Lewisite — *one of the most deadly poison gases known to man.*

In wartime, when soldiers happen to run into the product of Conant's wise and scholarly mind, here's what happens:

On the first breath, the tissues of the lungs break down; the membranes contract; convulsions set in; finally there are severe hemorrhages.

Death doesn't occur until the victim has been through minutes of extreme torture.

If by chance a man should live through the ordeal, for the rest of his life he is susceptible to tuberculosis.

Now, perhaps, people can better understand the nature of Conant's recent "scientific" mission to England.

Now, perhaps, wonder will cease as to why such a "cloistered academician" is so insistent that America enter the second world war.

There remains one mystery: Is this gentle college president, who is really one of the world's foremost experts on poison gas, financially interested in companies manufacturing Lewisite?

---

## Communism . . .

(Continued from Page 5)

of Marxism over a period of many years is blind to its American manifestations.

The *Brooklyn Tablet* can speak for itself, and it has.

As far as SOCIAL JUSTICE is concerned — the paper that was so unscientific as to call the turn on the *Gold Nationalization Act*, the lifting of the *Embargo Act*, the *Neutrality Bill*, and our entrance into war by April, 1941 — predictions made long before these events took place—that paper may be "less reputable" than are the contributions made by Clarence J. Enzler — Ph.D. — in the *Catholic Universe Bulletin.*

Perhaps SOCIAL JUSTICE is a "faker." But it is proud of its "fakery" when it bares its record alongside the record of the non-fakers, the back-slappers, the diplomats, the pussy-footers and the band wagon-jumpers — including those who have accepted $6,000 a year salary from the New Deal.

Perhaps, the erudite Mr. Enzler — Ph.D. — never heard of laws relative to the misuse of the mail — a misuse which the remarkably well-informed prelate of the Church employed to attack a fellow priest with a stiletto that was not sharpened on the stone of charity — a fellow priest *who has been silenced by circumstances beyond his control lest his able voice would be used in stopping Britain's war and the spread of New Deal Marxism.*

# EVERYBODY WINS IN THIS GREAT CAMPAIGN

## A BIG GRAND PRIZE TO EACH WORKER—OR 10% COMMISSION

SOCIAL JUSTICE wishes to compensate every contestant who takes part in this great "JUBILEE" drive. For that reason, a commission of TEN PER CENT will be paid to all participants who do not win grand prizes. (Read Rule No. 11.)

Commission checks will be sent out from our offices at the end of the Second Period and at the close of the contest. Contestants will understand this regulation is made in order to avert confusion. If campaigners should deduct their commissions before sending in their subscription money, it would render the keeping of our books and records much more difficult.

As soon as your Entry Blank and "Start-Off" Coupons are received, you will be sent all necessary materials such as subscription books and record sheets.

Remember the Entry Blank entitles you to 5,000 Free Votes right at the start. The "Start-Off" subscription blank, accompanied by your own or some other person's YEARLY subscription, either OLD or NEW, entitles you to 20,000 additional starting Votes. On top of this, you receive credit according to Regular Vote Schedule.

## RULES OF THE CONTEST

1. Any man or woman over 16 years of age, excepting employees or close relatives of employees of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine may become a contestant. The management reserves the right to reject objectionable nominations.

2. Any contestant may enter from the district in which he or she resides or from the district in which he or she is employed.

3. Contestants are not confined to their own district in which to obtain votes and subscriptions, but may take orders anywhere, and anyone may assist them. Contestants must make a cash report during each of the final two weeks of the contest.

4. Votes are not transferable from one active contestant to another active contestant. Contestants cannot withdraw in favor of other active contestants; nor can contestants give or transfer subscriptions to another contestant.

5. Votes will be issued on paid-in-advance subscriptions (new or renewal) for SOCIAL JUSTICE, including nomination coupons and free votes.

6. A NEW subscriber is one who was not taking SOCIAL JUSTICE by mail when the contest was announced. Votes are issued, subject to verification. Contestants who turn in old (or renewal) subscriptions marked "new" may forfeit votes received on such subscriptions.

7. No statement, assertion or promise, either written or verbal, made by any of the solicitors, agents, contestants or any other person, other than published in SOCIAL JUSTICE, will be recognized by the publishers or the contest management.

8. It is distinctly understood and agreed that all contestants will be responsible for all moneys collected, and that they will remit such amounts in full on schedule at frequent intervals, or on demand to the contest department.

9. Prizes are to be delivered to the winners as soon as the official judges announce the results of the contest. In case of a tie for any prizes the tying contestants will receive duplicate prizes or their equivalents.

10. The publishers reserve the right to place additional prizes on the list.

11. A cash commission of 10 per cent on new subscriptions is guaranteed every participant. Cash commissions will be paid each candidate at the end of the Second Period and at the close of the contest. However, cash commissions paid will be charged against whatever grand prize the contestant may win, and must be liquidated or deducted from the final grand prize at the end. Contestants may not deduct commissions before sending in subscription money

12. The contest opens at once and closes on August 26, 1941.

13. Any questions or controversies that may arise are to be settled by the Contest Manager, and his decision will be final and conclusive.

14. In case of typographical or other error, it is understood that neither the publisher nor the Contest management shall be held responsible, except for the necessary correction of same.

15. In accepting entrance, all candidates agree to abide by all the rules and conditions named; and to abide by the decisions of the judges as final.

## AND 127 SPECIAL PRIZES

There are big prizes and commissions for every person who takes part in this great "JUBILEE" Contest.

Besides the big awards ranging down from $2,500.00 to $300.00, there are 127 additional cash prizes to be awarded. There are 100 additional Grand Prizes, totaling $1,100.00. These will range downwards from $150.00 and will be awarded according to votes credited.

Then, there are 27 Special Cash Prizes which will be awarded during the progress of the contest to those contestants who show the best periodical results.

Names and standings of all contestants will be made public from time to time during the contest. Get your name in early!

***Address All Communications To—***

**"CONTEST MANAGER"**
**SOCIAL JUSTICE**
**Royal Oak • Michigan**

## TIME TO PILE UP BONUS VOTES IS *NOW!*

Contestants in the great "JUBILEE" Contest will be well rewarded for early entry and quick action.

The first period of the Contest will be known as the "SUNRISE PERIOD." It opened May 5 and closes at Midnight of Monday, June 30. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

**40,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit According to Regular Schedule**

The Second Period of the Contest will open on July 1 and will close at Midnight of Monday, July 28. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) shall entitle the contestant to

**30,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit According to Regular Schedule**

The Third Period of the Contest will open on July 28 and will close at Midnight of Monday, August 11. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

**25,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit According to Regular Schedule**

The Fourth or closing period of the Contest will open on August 12 and will close at Midnight of Tuesday, August 26. During this period every NEW subscription of one year ($3.00) or two years ($6.00) will entitle the contestant to

**20,000 Bonus Votes Plus Vote-Credit According to Regular Schedule**

So, you see the reason for entering NOW. The largest Bonus offer is made during this "SUNRISE PERIOD." Enter today. Within a few days your subscription books and record sheets will be sent you. Then you can "hit the ball."

## *COUNT THEM OVER—EVERY ONE*

| | |
|---|---|
| **FIRST GRAND PRIZE** | **$2,500.00** |
| **Second Grand Prize** | **1,500.00** |
| **Third Grand Prize** | **1,250.00** |
| **Fourth Grand Prize** | **750.00** |
| **Fifth Grand Prize** | **650.00** |
| **Sixth Grand Prize** | **550.00** |
| **Seventh Grand Prize** | **400.00** |
| **Eighth Grand Prize** | **300.00** |
| **100 Additional Grand Prizes** | **1,000.00** |
| **27 Special Cash Prizes** | **1,100.00** |
| **TOTAL CASH PRIZES** | **$10,000.00** |

# GET IN—GET TO WORK EARLY—AND EARN BIG BONUS

# IS $2,500.00 Waiting for You?

## $15,000.00 GOING OUT TO WORKERS IN GREAT 'JUBILEE CONTEST'

## TRIBUTE TO FATHER COUGHLIN, WHO FOUNDED SOCIAL JUSTICE

Is your Entry Blank and "Start-Off" Subscription Coupon in the mail? Are you on your way to Victory in our great "JUBILEE" Contest whereby we wish to double the weekly circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE and pay tribute to Father Coughlin during this, his Jubilee Year?

Now, it seems certain that you will enter this great campaign sometime. As a believer in the principles put forth by Father Coughlin, you will throw your shoulder to the wheel in order to increase the scope of influence of the magazine he founded.

Well, then, the thing to do is to enter early — during the "SUNRISE PERIOD" when each new subscription of one or two years you obtain will earn for you the Big Bonus of 40,000 Extra Votes.

The great campaign is now entering its third week.

On June 30 the first period will close. On June 30 your chance to earn 40,000 Extra Bonus Votes with each NEW subscription will expire.

This is the highest bonus vote that will be credited to contestants during the entire drive.

That should mean just one thing to you — quick action.

Another point:

Right now, you have your city, town or community all to yourself without competition. There are literally hundreds of people you know who will be glad to subscribe to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. All you need to do is ask them.

So, here's what you do: use the two coupons at the bottom of this page immediately. Use the Entry Coupon. It entitles you to 5,000 Free Votes as soon as it is received at our offices. Use the "Start-Off" Subscription Coupon. Send it in together with your Entry Blank and your first subscription for one year, old or new, your own or somebody else's. That will get you 20,000 more starting votes. Then, in addition, you will be credited with votes according to Regular Vote Schedule.

Do these things — and you could be on your way to a neat fortune of $2,500.00 within just a few weeks. Besides, you will be aiding in driving the national circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE to new heights.

This is the Jubilee Year of Father Coughlin. Let us all now band together to show him our appreciation for past efforts by increasing — by doubling — the circulation of the magazine which he established back in 1936.

May we depend upon receiving your Entry Coupon and "Start-Off" Subscription Coupon at once? Immediately we receive it, we shall rush you your subscription books and all necessary materials. In the meantime, make out a list of persons you KNOW will subscribe — and —

BE READY!

## Schedule of Regular Votes

ON PREPAID SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

### SOCIAL JUSTICE MAGAZINE

| Subscriptions | Votes |
|---|---|
| 6 months ($1.50) . . . . | 4,000 |
| 1 year ($3.00) . . . . . | 10,000 |
| 2 years ($6.00) . . . . . | 30,000 |

The above are Regular Votes and will maintain the same values on both new and renewed subscriptions throughout the Contest.

### BONUS VOTES

On each NEW subscription (2 years or 1 year), the following bonuses will be allowed:

40,000 EXTRA Votes up to June 30.
30,000 EXTRA Votes from July 1 to July 28.
25,000 EXTRA Votes from July 29 to August 11.
20,000 EXTRA Votes from August 12 to August 26.

Extra or Bonus Votes are issued and credited only after conclusion of the Contest.

## Use These Coupons When Entering Now

### "START OFF" Subscription Coupon

**(Good for 20,000 Votes)**

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 20,000 Votes, in addition to the Votes allowed on Regular Vote Schedule.

*Name of Subscriber* _____

*Address* _____

*City or Town* _____

*State* _____

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This Coupon accompanied by the Entry Blank and your first yearly subscription (your own or somebody else's) will start you in the great Contest with 25,000 Votes, plus the Regular Votes on the subscription as shown in the Regular Vote Schedule. Only one of these Coupons will be credited to a contestant.

### ENTRY BLANK

**(Good for 5,000 Votes)**

_____, 1941

**Contest Manager,**
**SOCIAL JUSTICE,**
**Royal Oak, Michigan.**

Please enter my name as a Contestant in your "JUBILEE" Contest. I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 5,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 20,000 Votes.

*My Name Is* _____

*My Address Is* _____

*City or Town* _____ *State* _____

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT.

# Comment

## Winston Churchill vs. Wm. Randolph Hearst

CONTINUING to campaign for peace in America Mr. William Randolph Hearst contributes this editorial comment in his May 2nd column.

It is an editorial which should make the American people stop, look and listen before they permit themselves to be solicited by the siren voice of pro-war orators like Churchill.

Said Mr. Hearst:

"Winston Churchill, British Prime Minister, is a good speaker and makes good speeches.

"They are his best evidences of leadership — his greatest contributions to his cause in this war.

"But his recent addresses to the Commons have not been so much a plea for victory, or a plea for peace, or even a plea for England — as a plea for Winston Churchill.

"They have been a plea for the continuance of his regime—a plea for endorsement of repeated Gallipolis and Dunkerques—a plea for the perpetuation of a policy of 'too late and too little' aid and action.

"They have been a plea for the help of the United States and a promise of that help if he is kept in power.

"They have been an apology for mistakes and defeats concluding with a bold statement of almost utter reliance upon the United States to rescue England from its errors and failures and save it from utter disaster.

"They have been a revelation of close commitments and pledged action by the government of the United States which the people of the United States were in no way aware of.

"These utterances were concluded by a demand from Mr. Churchill for a vote of confidence in his government by the Commons and by very definite declarations of unwillingness to change his means and methods of conducting the war.

"There is very little opportunity for discussion or argument by the representatives of the English people.

"Mr. Churchill is not *asking* them.

"He is *telling* them, and telling them where they get off.

"And they get off in the midst of the slough of despond definitely dependent on the rescue the United States will give them by taking on their war.

* * *

"Now friends and fellow citizens, if the United States are going into this war, as it would seem they are pledged to do, the American people ought to know to what extent they are going to depend on the kind of leadership Mr. Churchill provides.

"How many more Polish and Danish and Norwegian debacles are to be endured?

"How many Dutch and French and Belgian abandonments are to be sustained and sanctioned?

"How many more Greek and Yugoslav retirements are to be perpetrated?

"How many more brilliant evacuations of the Narvik and Dunkerque and Piraeus order are to be executed, leaving misguided allies helpless in the hands of enemies?

"How often are these allies going to be deserted to the calamities of defeat and dismemberment after enforced unconditional surrender, when they might have made treaties to protect their people and preserve the integrity of their nations except for false encouragement and unfulfilled promises extended by England and by her apparent ally, the United States of America, shuffling along in England's shoes on the same ineffective paths and policies?"

---

## Not Enough

IN A SPEECH in the House of Commons recently, Mr. L. S. Amery, Secretary for India, declared that India could produce two million fighting men.

"But," Mr. Amery admitted, "that is not enough. In the last few weeks we have seen a million of the bravest soldiers in the world scattered to the winds by the armed divisions of Germany."

If India's 352-million inhabitants can't supply Britain with "enough" fighting men to win the war, where are the needed soldiers to come from?

Prime Minister Churchill knows; so does President Roosevelt.

The only ones who don't know are the American draftees—the men who will comprise America's second expeditionary force.

Incidentally, a recent Associated Press dispatch from Bombay, India reports: "The Government decided today to invoke the *Whipping Act* in an attempt to stop Moslem-Hindu riots."

Thus the whipping post, a symbol of British "democracy" in India, will again be utilized to impress upon Indian natives the beauties of British imperialism.

---

## Finance Settles Strike

THE BIGGEST labor news recently was the settlement of the 27 day old soft coal strike that had begun seriously to threaten a stoppage of arms production for the United States and Britain.

The manner in which it was settled brings home again the oft-repeated assertion by Father Coughlin that labor's efforts to better conditions are in vain unless they can make a start to break the shackles of financial bondage, which is at the root cause of labor's exploitation and wage-slavery.

Bushy-browed John L. Lewis, president of the United Mine Workers, one of the key C.I.O. affiliates, deserves a lot of credit for putting his finger on the golden knot that bound operators and miners alike. But he did not have the power to cut the knot.

It took a financier to beat the financiers and that man was Jesse L. Jones, Secretary of Commerce and boss of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation — one of the shrewdest money men in America.

Lewis, testifying before the Senate Investigating Committee, said:

"Northern financial operators, who figuratively call themselves 'Southerners,' were committing a great economic outrage upon the South by opposing a new wage contract under which all soft coal mines would be re-opened. The tail of the industry, figuratively, has been wagging the dog."

Quoting from the *Baltimore Sun*, we find:

"Mr. Jones, whose R.F.C. connections give him access to the financial taproots of the coal companies, swung into action while the Senatorial Committee was in session. He established telephonic connection with the three operators who, John L. Lewis had told the committee, 'hold the key' to the situation.

"Mr. Lewis said that Oakley L. Alexander, of the Pocahontas Coal Company, and T. V. Davis and James Francis of the Island Creek Coal Company were in the audience at the hearing and urged the committee to call them to the witness stand . . .

"Secretary Jones arranged for the committee to cut short its session today so that he might summon representatives of the Southern Operators to his R.F.C. offices and begin what was reliably described as an attempt to persuade them to accept President Roosevelt's peace plan for the industry.

* * *

"Mr. Alexander is a native of Parkersburg, West Virginia, who now lives on Park Avenue in New York. He is 63 and president of the Pocahontas Company as well as a director of numerous other firms. He is, in addition, the only member of the triumvirate mentioned by Mr. Lewis who is listed in *'Who's Who,'* but neither the United Mine Workers' office nor the office of the National Coal Association could supply further data about him.

"Mr. Davis, who also lives in New York, was originally president of the Island Creek Company, which in a recent financial statement reported a profit of 77 cents a ton on its 1940 output. Mr. Davis is now chairman of the board.

"James D. Francis, who was its vice-president, has become president of the company which operates only in West Virginia. Mr. Francis, who lives at Huntington, used to be virtual boss of Logan county in the old days when violence marked the course of labor disputes, especially in that area . . ."

* * *

Twenty-seven days the coal miners were out of work. Madame Perkins had tried, and failed, to settle the strike. Her ace Labor Department conciliator, John R. Steelman, tried and failed to settle the strike. The matter was finally turned over to Defense Mediation Board and they failed to settle the strike.

When a financier, like Jesse Jones, could turn and talk with New York financialists, the strike could be settled immediately.

A recent survey released by the National Association of Manufacturers shows 3,022,918 man days lost by strikes in the first quarter of this year. In England for the year 1940, 940,000 man days were lost by strikes while in America in that year 6,500,000 days were lost.

# Comment

## Columbia Broadcasting System

THIS holier-than-thou institution—operating for a profit—would not permit Father Coughlin to rent time.

And it used its influence and prestige to prevent other radio stations from accepting specific contracts from Father Coughlin.

The *Southern Cross*, Catholic publication of San Diego, California (April 25) recently made mention of the Columbia Broadcasting System and the high priests of the National Association of Broadcasters who are accustomed to strain at a gnat and swallow a camel.

Said the *Southern Cross:*

"We believe it was the N.B.A., or was it the N.A.B., that was righteously indignant and barred certain programs from the air about a year ago. This branch of public information acted as a censor in behalf of the better interests of the country and said what should and what should not be released over the air. Father Coughlin was denied the use of the airways because he did not please some influential persons. His subjects were controversial but his controversies were with the interests whose devotion to America is definitely tainted. He was made to feel the pinch of the censor's clamp and his radio voice was effectively silenced.

"We recall his relentless battle with the intrigues of the Kremlin and his farsighted warnings on the subject of 'friendship' with Russia.

"The papers have given many of their columns in late days to a castigation of the C.B.S. which, through the permission of the National Association of Broadcasters, has released programs productive of race hatreds and designed to familiarize the people with the Red ideology.

"If the National Association of Broadcasters is organized to give a fair deal to all, the question might be asked, 'Whom is the Association fair against?'

"Is this another evidence of brazen boring from within, the very familiar method of the Communist Party? Is it indeed strange to deny to an avowed enemy of Communism permission to buy time while countenancing the sale of such time to friends of the Eden of the Steppes."

---

## Anthony Eden

THE *San Francisco Monitor* contributes the following thumb note on Anthony Eden:

"Anthony Eden, whose personal quarrel with Mussolini is alleged to have driven Italy into the Axis and thus made the war possible for Hitler, was maneuvering in the Near East not so long ago, appearing in shorts near the Suez Canal and making everything safe and sound in the Balkans and Turkey.

"Wavell seemed to be doing well in Africa, until Eden appeared on the Balkan Peninsula.

"Now if, as a result of Eden's maneuverings, the British lose the Mediterranean, the government responsible for him may fall, and as talk has it in Washington another government may sue for peace.

"In which event people of the United States would be left holding the bag of the world's war. We would be forced either to pay indemnity to Germany for damage done by every instrument of war we have sent, or else fight.

"The curtain for the second act may show, and probably will show, the Western Hemisphere aligned against the Eastern. Nor will it be easy to hold South America in line if the Germans get control of Dakar on the western bulge of Africa."

---

## British Food Commission

THE British Food Purchasing Commission has joined the other fifth columnists at Washington, D. C.

On April 3 the officers of these "invaders" went into conference with Secretary of Agriculture Claude Wickard to discuss "how to make the United States the larder of the British Empire."

The Britishers are not satisfied with the beans, bacon, cheese and other commodities which we are sending.

According to the *St. Louis Daily Record*:

"The British, for instance, like their bacon lean. They are partial to the 'Limerick' or 'Tamworth' brand, which once they obtained from Ireland and the Scandinavian countries. Most American bacon is quite fat; there is more money in growing big rather than small hogs, if only for the pork products. But the British buyers have asked our food purchasers at Washington to see that hogs destined for London markets incline toward the lean side. They also have expressed preferences with respect to other viands, which strike our food experts with any sense of humor as slightly bizarre.

"For instance, they want cheeses from the United States to be well-cured rather than green. The fact is that the domestic demand for cheese has become so great that the sharp, well-cured variety now is almost unobtainable for domestic buyers."

* * *

While we have pity for the residents of England, we are also mindful that beggars should not be choosers.

There are enough undernourished, underfed persons living in the United States who do not get the best cheese, butter, beans and pork.

God help Britain if she depends upon this Government to supply Englishmen with the necessaries to carry on this war when this Government could not supply Americans with the necessaries to liquidate the depression!

---

## Old Man's Prophecy

AUGUST HECKSCHER, businessman and philanthropist, died in Florida recently at the age of 92.

Columns of elegies were printed in important newspapers, but, with the exception of one, none mentioned the prophecy he had made in August, 1939, on the occasion of his 91st birthday.

At that time the old man stated: "President Roosevelt will lead the United States into war with Germany because of his opposition to Nazi persecution of Jews."

Although he had previously voted for Roosevelt in 1932 and 1936, he also warned that "a third term would ruin the nation."

People laughed at such senile predictions two years ago.

---

## Senator Smith

THE *World-Herald* of Omaha, Nebraska, disclosed the following information (April 12) relative to a Southern Senator who voted to send American boys to war.

Here it is:

"As the United States continues its preparedness effort, more and more attention is being centered on the amiability of certain draft boards. Baseball players, football stars, prominent young men in every walk of life have been certified as 'disabled' or 'indispensable,' and there are signs that other young men don't like it a little bit.

"One draft case recently received the attention of the Washington Merry-Go-Round conductors. Ellison D. Smith, Jr., is clerk of the Senate Agriculture Committee, of which his father, Senator Ellison D. 'Cotton Ed' Smith, is chairman. The young man is in the draft and asked deferment, on the grounds that he is indispensable to the committee. The senator himself, it is said, obtained a statement from other committee members, telling how important the young man's work is.

"Senator Smith voted for the conscription act."

But, even more significantly the Smith story did not break in the newspapers until Senator Smith had incurred New Deal ire by daring to disagree with the pro-British *clique's* war will.

---

## Winchell on Lindbergh

GOD HELP Lindbergh if Winchell said anything decent about him! God bless Lindbergh because Winchell smears him!

That is a pretty good rule for American readers to employ.

It is the old rule of gaging a man by the enemies he makes.

Here are some recent weasling Winchellisms:

"Lindbergh is a ham . . . And so is his act . . . Anybody who knows his Times Square sector can spot a ham at first sight . . . The symptoms are plainer than Durante's nose . . . Try to find somebody to compare Lindbergh with and the first thing that comes to mind is a faded actor trying to fight his way back to the drama pages and the payrolls . . . He won't be happy until he has people looking at him and listening to him. Hams can't help it, and neither can Lindbergh . . . The spotlight sheds a poison and nobody has found a cure for it yet.

"Yes, Charlie Lindbergh is a big ham!"

Time was when decent people flinched under Winchell's flayings.

That time has passed; and a decent American makes the front page of respectability when this Saduj sells him for 30 pieces of silver.

# Comment

## Buck Private Bucks Jingoists

FROM *The Voice of the People, "The Tribune,"* we lift the following letter addressed from Camp Grant:

"Camp Grant, April 9 — Some ardent pro-British New Dealer would probably love to have me court martialed and shot at sunrise for expressing this opinion, but I'll probably end up shot anyway if the Britain First Committee has its way — so, here goes.

"Congratulations on your editorial captioned 'Senator Wheeler's Message.' We fellows in the army wish there were a few more people and organizations in the country who would exercise some pro-Americanism. The Union Nowists, the Gibson Girls, and the Give Away a Few Billionists have hog-tied American sentiment just about long enough.

"Isn't it singular that 99 44/100 per cent of these Britain firstites are those who can't hold a cane in their hands without shaking, let alone a gun? They spiel off 'fightin' words,' but we're the poor suckers who will have to do their fightin.' Why haven't we just as much right to express where the majority of the members of the army stand on this defending America business?

"Would it surprise the Britain First Committee to learn that the vast majority of boys and officers in the army are in favor of aiding America first? They can get a cross section of sentiment in no time by coming up to Camp Grant and sitting in on a few discussions around the barracks. Some of them would be profoundly shocked.

"But some say: 'You aren't supposed to say anything, now that you're in the army!' Sure we're in the army — the army that will have to fight to defend even those fifth columnists and British propagandists who would take our very freedom and liberty away from us. What do these birds have at stake, anyway? Who runs the risk in the long run?

"Actions speak louder than words. I haven't seen Gibson, Pepper, the socialites, the internationalist bankers, and the strikers volunteering for active duty.

"I'm not a pro-Hitlerite, a Nazi sympathizer, a Fascist, a Communist, or an appeaser. I'm just like the thousands of other fellows with me — I'm an American and

A Buck Private.

* * *

As yet no one has gauged the dissatisfaction existing in army camps — if any.

We do know, from contacting individuals, that the boys are reluctant to leave American shores to fight the battle of the gold mongers which is in the offing as a result of a misconceived foreign policy.

We do know that some of the boys grit their teeth when they meditate upon the security which the refugees, the Congressmen and the international bankers enjoy while they — the boys — must risk becoming cannon fodder for a golden calf.

SOCIAL JUSTICE believes in a conscription law — but with reservations.

And the chief reservations are these: That the conscriptees should be men between the ages of 40 and 65 — Congressmen and Cabinet members included.

Wars are the result of diplomatic blunders and economic greed.

The boys — aged between 21 and 35 — have made no diplomatic blunders.

As yet they have been guilty of no international greed.

And yet, this Government which has been constantly engaged for many months in telling Germany to knock a chip off its stooping shoulder, is seeking to militarize the innocents of this nation.

Possibly, it is not too late to demand that all Congressmen and Cabinet members set a good example by becoming the first Americans to leave these shores for foreign battle.

In fact, we who are so anxious to send destroyers abroad to aid Great Britain, should not be reluctant to send all our two-legged destroyers — covered both with trousers and skirts — to practice what they preach in defending John Bull's stolen empire.

Who knows but our soldier boys, enjoying the right of democracy, might be anxious to march on Washington and take their beloved Congressmen and Cabinet members along with them as they sail abroad.

There is a germ of an idea in that.

Where could they get a better weather prophet than Henry Wallace, who is an expert in star gazing?

Who could assuage the wild spirit of the Nazis and Commies more successfully than Mrs. Perkins, long since their little mother?

And, if the worst came to the worst, horrendous Harold Ickes could be useful to offset any gas attack. One blast from him and Hitler's air force would come tumbling down to the humble ground.

Perhaps there are two ideas in that.

And, for companionship, the boys might take the Rothschilds from the St. Pierre Hotel and baggage them off, with the Sassoons and the rest of the refugees, all of whom are so well acquainted with European terrain.

Indeed, there is an idea germinating in those thoughts.

And, if the worst came to the worst, we are sure that Claude Pepper of Florida would be a valuable asset. In any crisis, he could be thrown into the eyes of the German public — and that would be the end of the war.

No fooling. We are serious about drafting the gray heads, the bald heads, the sap heads and the Government heads to fight our battles after they have messed up our foreign diplomacy and our economic security.

College papers, please copy.

### Guinea Pigs

A NEWS dispatch from Washington tells of experiments in which 30 soldiers of the United States Army, wearing regulation uniforms and carrying regulation field kits, will "march for hours in tropical heat and Arctic cold without ever arriving—or even departing."

Purpose of the tests, to be conducted on treadmills in rooms where extreme desert and Arctic temperatures will be simulated, is *to "ascertain the durability of the soldiers and their uniforms under varying climatic conditions."*

To be certain that the findings will not be put to use by an A.E.F., we suggest that Harold Ickes, Senator Pepper, Carter Glass, Thomas Lamont Col. Knox, Henry L. Stimson and the rest of the swivel-chair war-mongers take the endurance tests with the soldiers. Upon completion of the experiments the jingoists should have the honor of being the first to go abroad to die for the Empire which they love so dearly.

Faced with the reality of this great opportunity, preserving the British Empire wouldn't be quite such a glorious enterprise — nor a necessary one.

---

## Highland Park, Michigan

THE City of Highland Park is an island municipality surrounded by the metropolitan City of Detroit.

The Highland Park Junior College is recognized in the State of Michigan as one of its most representative and progressive institutions.

It houses a cross-section of all types of students.

Last week a poll at Highland Park Junior College revealed that its students are against the United States' entry into the European War 10 to 1.

The poll, conducted among 300 students of the institution on their own initiative, turned in a vote of 90 per cent "no" and 10 per cent "yes" on the first of four questions: "Do you favor American entrance into the present European war?"

Three other questions were submitted to the voters. First, "If the defeat of Great Britain seems imminent, do you believe the United States should enter the conflict?" This question received 36 per cent "yes" and 64 per cent "no."

Then followed the question: "Do you believe in aid to Great Britain at the risk of war?" This question received 42 per cent "yes" and 58 per cent "no."

The final question was: "Do you favor the use of American convoys?" The answer to this question was 24 per cent "yes" and 76 per cent "no."

The poll covered 300 of the school's 450 students, representing nearly 75 high schools in the Detroit area.

Incidentally, the poll was conducted by Herman Wulf, president of the Student Council, and Albert Lewis, a post-graduate.

There is food for thought in the above questions and their percentage answers—food for thought for the war-mongers, at Washington and elsewhere.

No wonder some persons are hinting at a revolution should the war gang *finesse* this country into a war.

Incidentally, those who read this comment might undertake to take the same questions into the high schools and junior colleges in their district.

We feel that the results would be the same from Maine to California.

# Comment

## 'Wheelhorse of Defense'

LAST SPRING, Burton Kendall Wheeler, senior Senator from Montana, was begged by White House "insiders" to make a second try for the office he had unsuccessfully sought sixteen years before—and he refused. The office? Vice President of the United States!

Among the uncertainties of the Chicago convention, that lasted up until the receipt of President Roosevelt's message "ordering" the nomination of Henry Wallace, was the word whispered among the delegates that "they" were still working on Wheeler.

In other words, Senator Wheeler, desirable as President Roosevelt's Third Term Vice President, became the target of attack and vilification only after he had refused to "go along" with the pro-British New Deal *clique*.

"The first outright plea," writes John Gibson Polk in *Scribner's Commentator*, "came one night when he and Mrs. Wheeler were invited to dinner by Bob Kintner—Washington columnist. Nothing was said of other guests—but when the Wheelers arrived—they found three important White House 'insiders' present—Benjamin Cohen of Corcoran-Cohen and Felix Frankfurter fame; Leon Henderson, S.E.C. Commissioner and in charge of price fixing in the National Defense Council, and Edward H. Foley, Jr., Henry Morgenthau's Treasury general counsel and also a Frankfurter protege.

"The entire evening was spent trying to sell Wheeler the idea that he should run for Vice President on the Third Term ticket. They intimated that, if he agreed, it would lead him into the White House—for they expressed the belief that F.D.R. should resign the Presidency immediately after the 'war emergency' ended.

"The plea for Wheeler to take the post did not end that night. It continued right on down to within a few hours of the nomination being handed Wallace in Chicago. In fact, the nomination went to the Iowa corn culturist at the Chicago 'convention' only because Wheeler made the 'insiders' understand that when he said 'no'—he meant 'NO.'

"It is firmly believed on Capitol Hill today that Roosevelt telephoned that convention his instructions to nominate Wallace only after he was certain he could not have Wheeler for a running mate. Therefore, instead of falling heir to the throne of John Nance Garner—the forgotten man from Texas—in the Senate and endeavoring to direct that body's activities favorably for the Administration, today we find this battle-scarred veteran of political wars and Senate debate taking the leading role on the Senate floor against the first legislative proposal of the Third Term.

* * *

"Up until the third-term question came into the picture, Wheeler had been a strong Roosevelt advocate, with the one exception in 1937 in the Supreme Court fight. In fact, he probably stands as the original 'Roosevelt for President' man in the country. He was the first man in the Senate to declare himself for F.D.R., way back in 1930.

"He brought Joseph P. Kennedy into the Roosevelt campaign in 1932—got him to put $5,000 into the early pre-convention campaign when it was about to peter-out for lack of money. Later, he got Kennedy to put in a total of $37,500 and loan the Roosevelt campaign an additional $50,000.

"He continued as a close friend and advisor of Roosevelt until the Supreme Court break, which put him in the 'dog-house.' But Wheeler didn't mind that, for he pointed out it was once said of one of his ancestors, the Tylers, that 'socially they ranged from the White House to the poor house'—so it was all right if politically he ranged from the White House to the New Deal dog-house.

"He drew first blood in the 'lend-lease' fight when, in a radio broadcast, he termed the bill 'the New Deal's triple A foreign policy—it will plow under every fourth American boy.' That statement evidently irked the President, for he went out of his way at his next press conference to term it the 'most dastardly and unpatriotic thing that has ever been said.'

"The President carefully avoided naming him, but Wheeler accepted the remark as meant for him and, with a twinkle in his blue eyes, countered with 'I guess the President lost his temper.'

"With that reply, Capitol Hill gave first round to Wheeler, and the public reaction seemed to be the same, if the mail and telegrams that poured into his Capitol Hill office were any indication. After he fired the charge, comment ran ten to one in his favor.

"Following the President's remark, it jumped to twelve to one, and poured into the Capitol in such a deluge that Mrs. Wheeler and the children had to drop home and other activities to help his already overworked office staff sort and classify the comments pouring in from all parts of the country.

* * *

"In fighting to keep this country out of foreign wars, Wheeler is but following family traditions," Mr. Polk observes.

" 'War spells bankruptcy for the nation—moral, economic and political,' Wheeler recently declared. 'We have not recovered from the moral let-down of the last war—nor have we recovered from the economic chaos.'

"Of present Administration policy, he declares:

" 'It seems the Administration is seeking to establish a policy that will enable it to finance one or the other side in every war, no matter where it may be, providing the President decides one side is an aggressor and believes the final outcome of that war will have some effect on our interests.

" 'Since there must always be an aggressor in every war, and since every war, no matter where, is bound to have some effect on our economic interests and, to some degree, on our safety, it is easily seen that such a policy, if we adopt it, means we return to the old European system of being continually involved in war somewhere or another.'

"Burton K. Wheeler is a force to be reckoned with and the New Deal realizes that. His long years of service in the Senate have made him a skilled fighter, the match of any in that body. His efforts there have made him a host of friends throughout the country, particularly among the working classes, whose battles he has waged so continuously.

"His position as chairman of the Senate Interstate Commerce Committee adds to his influence and power, giving him a potent voice in anything and everything dealing with communications and transportation.

"He has just one primary interest: these United States of America. Here is where his family roots long have been embedded—where his heart is, and evidently where it will stay. Of him, one of his fellow Senators recently said:

" 'You remain his friend as long as your heart remains here. You part with him when your heart roams abroad'."

---

## Union Now—and Then

THERE is nothing new about British propaganda or "disloyalty," says a bulletin of the Chicago Citizens Keep America Out of War Committee.

"John Hancock, Thomas Jefferson, and the committee that wrote the *Declaration of Independence*—Patrick Henry, George Washington, Benjamin Franklin, John Adams—in fact every great American patriot has been pilloried and smeared by the treasonable hirelings of the engine of British propaganda.

"The fault lies not with the British," the bulletin goes on. "Their propagandists in this country are serving Britain and her interests. That is an old British custom. The fault lies with ourselves for permitting it.

"The recent invasion of Washington, D.C., by an army of 5,500 British propagandists proves our lunacy.

"Why can't we be as smart as the British?"

* * *

During the World War the Creel committee was engaged in the task of "selling the war" to the American people through the newspapers. Also it was their job to sell the American effort to the British newspapers and to attempt to co-ordinate the war efforts of the British and American peoples and to make popular the voluntary sacrifices of the people of these two nations.

A member of that committee, Charles Edward Russell, had been in charge of the Creel Committee work in England for some time but was not satisfied with the result of his effort. He thought that he knew the reason for British lack of co-operation.

In reporting to Creel in June, 1918, he stated:

"I don't see much use in trying to cut a wide swath here anyway, even if it could be done. We are not getting much into the British papers and never will get much . . . The British are not interested in bringing about a closer working understanding with America for the winning of the war only . . . What they want and are well obsessed about is a permanent Anglo-American alliance . . . We have had two dinners of newspaper editors and proprietors for the purpose of discussing the best ways to make Great Britain acquainted with America's efforts in the war, and both have drifted off into discussions of the best way to form an enduring Anglo-American league to control the world."

# Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

## Every Moment Counts

TO THE EDITOR:

Soon — and maybe at this writing — Britain will have rid itself of Churchill, the biggest blundering bluff of all time! Count his mistakes: count the countries upon whom he has brought war and woe.

Is the great United States of America to be another victim of his mistakes?

We pray not, and it will not happen if "we the people" make a better stand against the will of the war-makers than did our own Congress.

It is up to every last one of us without exception to get out and fight with every peaceful weapon at our command so that this war shall not spread to these shores.

Americans, do you want to trust your fate to the hands of the Churchills of the Old World or the New?

This world can have peace now and we will have peace now if we will get behind our patriotic peace-loving American leaders who are so bravely fighting almost alone.

Every moment counts. Everyone must do his share. Let us end this hateful thing. A real American hates no one. We only hate war.

— C.H.

*Bronx, N. Y.*

## Accounting at Hand

TO THE EDITOR:

I believe in the Christian way of life. I believe that an aroused Christianity is more mightily effective than any other form of strength known to mankind.

I believe that Christianity can be a solution or adjustment of any of our problems *sans* conflict.

We must come to see a way of life where there is faith in men, where the faith of humanity cannot survive without the fundamental faith in life.

No one with intellect enough to know, with heart enough to care, with courage enough to face facts, can deny that the day of accounting is near.

— S.T.

*Peekskill, N. Y.*

## Tells of World Plot

TO THE EDITOR:

Four months ago a Jewish Freemason delivered an address before a civic club in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, in which he declared than Chancellor Hitler and Premier Mussolini had destroyed Freemasonry in their countries and that if Hitler and Mussolini win this war they would destroy Freemasonry in the Americas and Mexico.

Therefore the democracies must fight, and use every weapon at their disposal, to defeat the dictators because the existence of Freemasonry is at stake!

This Jewish Freemason declared that Freemasonry had always upheld freedom of speech, religious freedom, freedom of assembly and freedom of the press.

The *Oshkosh Daily Northwestern* published his address in full.

The Christian hierarchy and clergy who revile Chancellor Hitler and Premier Mussolini, and would have the United States go all out aid to the British government are the unsuspecting dupes and rubber stamps of the greedy, hypocritical Jewish financiers and Oriental atheists.

— F.S., Sr.

*Oshkosh, Wis.*

## Praise for Kitson

TO THE EDITOR:

I have just read your splendid article in the April 7 issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE entitled *"Who Governs Britain? Britishers Tell Us,"* in which you quote at length from the writings of Arthur Kitson.

The more the American people learn of the many years of constructive work and tireless effort of this great American, who afterwards became a successful British business man, the sooner these Americans will see the urgent need for an honest money system all over the world.

Every reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE should read Arthur Kitson's last book, *"The Bankers Conspiracy,"* which discloses and proves the tie-up between the big five London "City" bankers, and our big five Wall Street New York internationally minded bankers, in their nefarious schemes at world domination and control.

This most informative book has been out of print for several years until recently, when Honest Money Founders of Chicago published an American edition of 92 pages which sells for only 35c whereas the original edition cost three shillings in London.

The readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE should prevail upon every business man of their acquaintance to read and study this little book. Its 92 pages can be read easily in one evening. And what a revelation they will bring.

— J.A.B.

*Elkhart, Ind.*

## Jewish Informant Must Have Access to an Oracle

TO THE EDITOR:

The wrecking crew are still after Father Coughlin. They are after Senator Wheeler, Charles Lindbergh and Henry Ford.

I see a prominent Detroit Jew every few days and could not but notice how accurate his forecasts were:

For instance, he once said: "Father Coughlin is a 'Nazi,'"; "Father Coughlin should be thrown off the radio," and so on.

Shortly thereafter, Father Coughlin was attacked by concerted onslaught all over the United States and finally was put off the radio.

Recently this Detroit man remarked: "Henry Ford is a 'Nazi,'"; "Henry Ford should be stripped of all his factories, his money and even his clothes."

Within a week, a concerted attack on Ford began.

Mrs. Roosevelt sent up a trial balloon in New Haven. The President then does the same in his press conference, and Sidney Hillman puts over a master stroke.

Then we hear this: "The Jews will take over Ford."

Next, it's Cameron whom we hear "should be deported." Sure enough, Congress has a resolution to investigate Mr. Cameron's citizenship and an effort is made to deport him.

Next I heard: "We will 'get' Lindbergh."

Then I heard this: "Senator Wheeler is 'hooked up' with Father Coughlin and must be driven out of public life"; "Senator Wheeler is a Nazi"; and "The President will smash Wheeler so he will never again see daylight"; "All these Senators who oppose Roosevelt are Nazi and must be driven out of office."

Well, sure enough — in a few days Senator Wheeler was attacked along every line. Even the President joined in the smear attack. And all that struck me as peculiar.

Have these Jews some central directing body that plans and executes all these moves beforehand?

Are we to see all opposition to Roosevelt "liquidated" one by one?

Are we headed for war, concentration camps, one party, dictatorship and so on?

Have we an underground Jewish Republic similar to Germany before Hitler?

This same informer, seeming able to call the shots before they are fired, is quite certain that war will see the Government taking over all industry, all business, all professions and finally all property to spread it "around among the poor" who have nothing.

And says he, religion will be "regulated," too, just like everything else.

And then Father Coughlin will be deported or liquidated.

So that's how things are going to be!

I thought I would let you know, so you could be prepared.

If they can bar Father Coughlin from radio, eliminate Senator Wheeler, Ford, Lindbergh and all opposition one by one — just where do we go from here?

Or do we just sit by and *wait* for it?

— J.R.W.

*Detroit, Mich.*

## Will Defend America

TO THE EDITOR:

Many women of today realize what the last war did to them. Sweethearts were taken from them, some never to return alive; others maimed for life; others on account of an economic upheaval were without jobs upon their return; still others had a different aspect of life.

Those of our sex who were in the marriageable state waited in vain; many of us found a similar fate during the period 1932-39 on account of the economic upheaval caused by the World War.

Today, we are finding a fate similar to what our sisters found in the World War, and our younger sisters will find a similar fate in the depression that will follow.

We all know how our mothers suffered the heartaches in seeing their sons taken from them to be sent to foreign battlefields to face shrapnel, gas, etc., after they protected their lives from infancy, against all disease, etc., educated them, and only to find him returned dead, maimed or disillusioned.

Mothers and fathers of America! Do you want your sons to meet a similar fate? Do you want your daughters to spend a life of bachelorhood?

We young women of America are willing to make this sacrifice to defend America — *but are not willing to defend the British Empire in China, Africa, India or elsewhere.*

Let us help the President preserve democracy at home by aiding him to exterminate alien revolutionists in our midst, Communists in government, labor unions, etc.

Let us be Americans first, last and always.

— Young America

*Milwaukee, Wis.*

# Letters

## America Gave Us All We Have

TO THE EDITOR:

As a reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine since its first publication, may I ask your kind indulgence.

To those who followed Father Coughlin as I have for twelve years, there remains no place for doubt, as to his wisdom and accuracy.

Every step taken by our Administration was fore-told by him up to the present moment. His vision was far sighted to a degree, almost beyond understanding: and his courageous voice saved America time and time again, from those who would infringe on her sacred rights.

Had he not been barred from the radio — by a powerful minority with whom we are all so familiar — the *Lease-Lend Bill* would never have been passed.

If this un-American discrimination had been forced upon any Jewish or Protestant clergyman, their respective peoples would have protested loudly against such an injustice.

Many persons still do not understand that the silencing of Father Coughlin was a direct thrust at the Catholic Church in America.

It is edifying to study his attitude: his divine call transcends any issues that may arise. He infused life into the all-but-forgotten Encyclicals of the Popes, so that the most learned, as well as unlearned could understand.

He gave the best in him to God and country. It is my earnest wish that the American people remember all he did for them, and to remember always that had there been a Father Coughlin to raise his inspired voice in Russia, Germany, Spain and Mexico, the world would not be in the chaos we find it today.

Keeping in mind Father Coughlin's unquestioned Americanism, let us stand shoulder to shoulder with him, and with such fearless Americans as Senator Burton Wheeler, Senator Nye, Rep. Hamilton Fish, Col. Charles Lindbergh, General Wood and all the other Christian patriots.

America gave us all we have — let us repay her by keeping this nation out of the war.

— Mrs. L.C.K.

*New York City*

## Ireland's Neutrality

TO THE EDITOR:

In the name of common sense why shouldn't Ireland preserve her neutrality?

The British Empire is the very one who crushed little Erin for the past 750 years and as recently as 1916 sent the Black and Tans — the scum of the English prisons — into Ireland and shot down innocent men, women and children who voted in majority for a Republic which rightfully belongs to her. After a lengthy battle in which the Irish Republican Army defeated the invaders, England sensed that public opinion was against her and called a truce with the Irish Republic.

After several debates at 10 Downing Street, London, between Prime Minister Lloyd George of Great Britain and Irish statesmen, Ireland was forced to choose a Free State which separated 26 counties from 32.

This was a clever move of the English who knew well that the six county parliament in the North would always be a stumbling block in the progress of the Free State.

Britain wants the industrial center of Ireland, which is the North, for shipbuilding and marketing purposes and will hold on to it at any cost. If Mr. Churchill wants peace in Europe, let him take his troops out of the North of Ireland.

— E.V.R.

*Philadelphia, Pa.*

## Honors Radio Priest

TO THE EDITOR:

In a few weeks I will gladly congratulate Father Coughlin, founder of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine on his twenty-fifth anniversary of priesthood, and thank him for all the valuable education I have received through the pages of SOCIAL JUSTICE ever since it was published.

May that day be Father Coughlin's happiest day of his life, on June 29th, when he celebrates his Silver Jubilee, and as my gift in his honor I have enrolled myself and three friends as members in the League of the Little Flower.

— Mrs. A.H.

*Geneseo, N. D.*

## He's Off Winchell

TO THE EDITOR:

When Walter Winchell wound up his column the other night by calling Lindbergh a "copperhead" — which really means snake — my "tolerance" for this vocal garbage came to an end.

I will not take this any longer and no doubt a majority of our loyal Americans feel likewise.

Please let me remind readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE and all loyal Christian Americans, that we have a most powerful weapon in our possession by which we can eliminate this unholy chatter, and that is through our patronage of the products which sponsor this kind of venom-spewing traducers.

— A.E.U.

*Delphos, Ohio*

# Best Tribute to Fr. Coughlin Is Success of Social Justice

TO THE EDITOR:

I have noticed many suggestions during the last six weeks in SOCIAL JUSTICE relative to celebrating Father Coughlin's Silver Jubilee. I believe the one suggested in March 24 issue, that we all join the League of the Little Flower certainly is one of the best.

We will not all be able to go to Royal Oak, but we can all pray for him, and if there ever was a time when we need powerful advocates in heaven it is now.

It is not surprising that SOCIAL JUSTICE has been banned from distribution by the Army; you really have been honored by this discrimination. SOCIAL JUSTICE is a real crusader for peace and Americanism (not a popular side, if we believe the newspapers and radio commentators). But stick to your guns, even if you lose a few subscribers, for I feel sure the day will come when you will be honored for the clear slighted courageous stand you are taking in these hysterical times.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is the only publication available that is unafraid to put the real facts before the public, and personally I feel confident God will protect you from your enemies, provided your friends do their part, and that is to see that SOCIAL JUSTICE is more widely distributed, and to pray each day that God will guide its editors with the Light of His Truth, Justice and Charity.

That is the main reason that readers should join the League of the Little Flower.

Why not insert such a coupon in SOCIAL JUSTICE for the convenience of readers?

In order to have SOCIAL JUSTICE reach people who do not read it now, I would suggest that each reader pass his copy on to some neighbor, friend or relative, who is not a reader at present. Call their attention to some timely topic in the magazine which you know might interest them. By doing this regularly every week you will eventually get new subscribers.

If you don't know anyone to give it to, mail it to people in your parish church, and in that way you might increase the sales of the boys who sell at church on Sundays. A slit envelope or a sheet of typing paper pasted around it make excellent wrappers.

If every reader will make it his or her business to pass a copy on to someone else, it would double the number of readers in one week, and I am sure that is the least we can do to show Father Coughlin we are grateful to him for having started this great magazine, and this, dear reader, means you, and you.

Let us double the circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE as one of our gifts to him on the occasion of his Silver Jubilee.

— Mrs. W.F.F.

*Cleveland, Ohio*

## King Urges; King Flees

TO THE EDITOR:

The *Los Angeles Evening Herald-Express*, on page one of its April 23rd edition, carried two headlines in adjoining columns. One read: *"Greek King Urges Nation to Fight On,"* and the other: *"Greek King Flees to Crete."*

Usually, these two stories break a day or so apart; in Greece the news made the same edition.

Reminds me of the story of the old Army captain who formed his company behind a stone wall and said to the men: "The enemy is coming, make your stand here but do not fire until you can see the whites of their eyes; then fire and fall back. I, being a little lame, will start now."

How many rulers does this make now, who, after admonishing their people to be brave and fight on, fled to safety themselves?

One after another, Europe's rulers have issued last stand orders and made brave radio speeches to their people with their own one-way tickets to safety already provided for. Somehow, the pronouncements of refugee committees and "governments in exile" are not convincing. In the light of history, they will be even less so.

— H.B.Q.

*Palm Springs, Calif.*

## Americans Not Dumb

TO THE EDITOR:

War-mongers find no words black enough to stigmatize all who refuse obeisance. Roosevelt and his New Deal *clique* not only talk and act as if they had a monopoly of wisdom and intelligence but with supercilious, sardonic insolence smear and malign all who have the intellectual and moral integrity to think for themselves.

So shamelessly brazen have these elected politicians grown that they keep repeating the obscene assumption that the bulk of Americans are for aid to Britain at any price. As if in the last Presidential campaign the American voter had any choice!

On that issue, and all issues involved in it, the voters have not been allowed to make known their views and our "domestic Hitler" will see to it that they are not allowed.

As between the fearless, manly, sincere Charles Lindbergh and these hectoring, pro-British officials, I think it would insult the honor and intelligence of anyone even to ask them which they preferred. Obviously, our political tycoons concur with Adams' cynical "It is impossible to underestimate the average human intelligence."

Wake up, Americans! One effective weapon you must use immediately and that is, recognize and avoid pro-British, un-American, neo-pagan periodicals. Read and urge with all your eloquence others to read SOCIAL JUSTICE, the *Catholic World*, *America*, and the *Brooklyn Tablet*.

— J.X.R.

*Dorchester Centre, Mass.*

# Comment

## Parochial Schools Doomed?

THE Rev. Samuel K. Wilson, S.J., president of Loyola University of Chicago, delivered a stirring address before the National Catholic Educational Association at New Orleans.

Pointing out that only two unexploited sources of taxation remain—the church and school—Father Wilson said:

"All non-tax supported schools from the kindergarten to the university will be subject to taxation. Government control of private education is not only sure but imminent . . . It is likely that the institution first to be shaken down for surplus shekels will be the traditional American institutions, the privately controlled schools . . .

"Will government control of education destroy, or at least seriously obstruct, the aims and objectives of Catholic schools? Catholic schools exist only to prepare students for the next life while they are preparing them for life in the present. If this objective be seriously altered, I do not see how a Catholic school can continue to function."

Father Wilson is not far-fetched in his predictions.

As long as the American hierarchy will continue to go along with the Government —the New Deal—so long religion will not be "hampered" in America—i.e., personal religion.

The moment the hierarchy—if the moment ever arrives — rises up to protest against Government control of parochial schools, repercussions will be felt throughout the entire Catholic population.

Too long we have suffered from "Mundeleinism" in America.

Too long we have had inflicted upon us the doctrinaire of "Ryanism."

Step by step, ecclesiastics in the day of Henry VIII went along with him until, finally, the fateful hour was sounded.

It is better to be too early than too late.

It is better to assert ourselves now than wait until the requiem bells toll.

---

## W. P. A. and Church Taxation

COMPLEMENTING the comment touching upon Father Samuel Wilson's New Orleans speech relative to the imminent possibility of taxation on private schools, is an interesting news release from Detroit relative to the W.P.A.

For more than a year, agents representing the *Michigan Historical Records Survey*, a W.P.A. project, have been exploring the estimated wealth and revenue of Christian churches and parishes throughout Michigan.

Already, some nine volumes of *Records Survey* have been issued by the W.P.A. organization.

Perhaps it appears far-fetched to express fears that the groundwork is being laid against the day when churches will be taxed on their revenue and estimated value.

After all, 68 per cent of the American people profess no affiliation with an organized church; and, after all, this is a "democracy" dedicated to the principle of majoritarianism.

And, after all, morality in America is being formulated not upon Constitutional law, nor *New Testament* law. The Einsteins and the modern "democrats" have taken care of that.

The only prayer hope left to Christian Americans, nowadays, is this:

"Dear God, we do not ask that you remove the cross from our shoulders. We simply ask for the grace to carry it, because we are deserving of it."

---

## Father James F. Gillis

IN HIS syndicated article, which we reprint from *The Tablet*, Father Gillis said:

"But although we are not officially in, there has come a call to American writers, not to 'fire a cannon, but to defend the allies and attack the Nazis with the pen or the pencil or the typewriter. A 'Writers' Committee' of the Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies has urged 'writers who believe in this cause to write articles for papers, journals or college publications, to send letters to newspapers, to influence legislators, to urge passage of bills, and in brief, to help in every way with the written word.'

"Perhaps I may be permitted, as somewhat of a minor journalist myself, to say that I have been particularly interested in this form of totalitarianism.

"But before I could respond to the invitation of the Committee and send in my stuff to any publications that I imagined might print it, I should have to ask a few questions with the general intention of clarifying the idea.

"For instance:

"Should an American writer tell the entire truth about the war, as he sees it, or should he confine himself to those portions of the truth that would promote 'the cause'? . . .

"The circular issued by the Committee says, 'In one sense we are at war now and with the approval of a vast majority of Americans.' Is that the kind of statement the Committee would like to have disseminated? Is it true? Does the vast majority of Americans approve of our being at war, even 'in one sense'? Or does not the vast majority of Americans hope and expect that the President's promise not to get into the war will be made good?

"Also the circular says, 'The risk of war or war itself is of less importance than the survival of our institutions.' Is there a bit of a trick in that expression? Does it conceal the probability that our going into the war would be as dangerous to our institutions as our staying out of the war?

"The Committee asks a question of its own: 'Shall we aid England now or prepare to spend the rest of our lives in arms?' Is not the wording of that question also a little elusive? If we help England now, shall we go on to help any other nation unjustly attacked at any time? If we do shall we not be under arms forever, or as long as the United States exists?

"It doesn't matter for the sake of this argument how those questions are answered. The point is, could they be asked? And in the attempt to answer them, would it be permissible to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Especially the whole truth? Or must one expose only those aspects of the truth that would be considered good propaganda?

"The Committee didn't go into that. I imagine they should have gone into it. The whole problem of the free press, of freedom in general and of the democratic process is involved in the answers to those questions."

Father Gillis was invited to use his typewriter in lieu of a machine gun — to use it to mold American opinion towards war.

And Father Gillis has not done so.

As yet no one of the war mongers has approached Father Coughlin — that is, not directly.

But they approach those who have control over Father Coughlin.

Knowing that they could not win the radio priest to their side; and fearing both his voice and his pen, they simply decided to silence him.

Those who co-operated in silencing Father Coughlin are as bad as the war mongers who are co-operating to lead America into the war.

---

## Monsignor Fulton Sheen

THE *Ave Maria* of April 26th comments editorially as follows:

"Our war-mongers are continually insisting that the present war in Europe is not only for democracy, but for the salvation of Christianity as well. They have shouted that phrase so loud and so often that simple people, who are not accustomed to analyze things for themselves, have come to believe it is true.

"Let us quote for you from a recent speech of Monsignor Sheen: 'The first columnists,' he says, 'are those educators and publicists who reject a universal norm of morality in favor of a relative morality based either on expedience, pleasure, profit, or selfishness of the individual; in other words a rejection of the principle that right is right if nobody is right, and that wrong is wrong if everybody is wrong.

"A typical instance of this abandonment of morality based on God and His justice is the tendency in international relations to call Russia a friendly nation when the facts prove that Russia has been just as destructive of humanity, as hateful of religion, as oppressive of the masses as the Nazis—and that is as damning an indictment as could be made of any nation.

"We Americans cannot say, and we must not say before God, that we are fighting for freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and freedom from fear, when we choose among the barbarians and dictators, and call that nation friendly which gave the green light to Hitler to invade the West, and which within its own boundaries as prison walls, has extinguished the rights and liberties of 160-million people!

"Our Government has pronounced Russia a friendly nation. As long as this friendship exists there can be no question of our fighting for Christianity."

# Jewish Author Has Plan To Destroy German Race

SURPRISINGLY enough, the most blood-curdling war aims to be proposed during the present world conflict have not come from Nazi Germany but from Newark, New Jersey.

They appeared in a little-known book published recently under the title, *"Germany Must Perish,"* and written by one Theodore N. Kaufman.

Mr. Kaufman's proposal is as simple and as brutal as a butcher's knife: he merely wants to sterilize every adult in the Third Reich capable of procreation, from Hitler to the lowliest German hausfrau!

Here is his plan in his own words. "There remains," he writes, "but one mode of ridding the world forever of Germanism — and that is to stem the source from which issue those war-lusted souls, by preventing the people of Germany from ever again reproducing their own kind."

"The population of Germany," Mr. Kaufman explains, "excluding conquered and annexed territories, is about 70-million, almost equally divided between male and female.

"To achieve the purpose of German extinction it would be necessary to only sterilize some 48-million — a figure which excludes males over 60 years of age, and females over 45."

Nazi soldiers would be the first to be sterilized, he points out: ". . . the army groups, as organized units, would be the easiest and quickest to deal with.

"Taking 20,000 surgeons as an arbitrary number and on the assumption that each will perform a minimum of 25 operations daily, it would take no more than one month, at the maximum, to complete their sterilization . . .

"The balance of the male civilian population of Germany could be treated within three months. Inasmuch as sterilization of women needs somewhat more time, it may be computed that the entire female population of Germany could be sterilized within a period of three years or less.

"Complete sterilization of both sexes, and not only one, is to be considered necessary . . .

"Of course, after complete sterilization, there will cease to be a birth rate in Germany. At the normal death rate of 2 per cent per annum, German life will diminish at the rate of 1½-million yearly.

"Accordingly, in the span of two generations that which cost millions of lives and centuries of useless effort, namely, the elimination of Germanism and its carriers, will have been an accomplished fact."

* * *

Mr. Kaufman would allow only one class of able-bodied Germans to escape his surgery: those "whose relatives, being citizens of various victor nations, assume financial responsibility for their emigration . . ."

It is this exception which lets the cat out of the bag! For the great majority of the author's "exempted class" could only be German Jews, practically all of whom have relatives in other countries.

Being, as *Time* magazine describes him, a "Manhattan-born Jew," Mr. Kaufman thus appears to wish the destruction of the German people on racial rather than political or economic grounds.

Kaufman, of course, denies this and says in his book he only wants to "save the world from recurring war." He wraps himself in the flag of "democracy" and praises freedom, but blood is thicker than well-turned phrases.

Certainly one of the underlying causes of the present war is the racial animosity between Jews and Germans, Mr. Kaufman has simply elaborated a plan to satisfy the deep-seated longing for revenge which Jews feel for their arch-enemies, the Nazis.

The difficulty, however, is that to achieve Mr. Kaufman's purpose millions of British, Americans and other people who are neither Jews nor Germans will have to die invading and conquering the Third Reich.

The author naturally admits that the success of his plan hinges on an Allied victory. He "hopes" that the United States will not be involved, but adds that "if our soldiers must go forth to kill or die in battle, at least let them be given not alone a slogan but a solemn purpose . . ."

This "solemn purpose" is Mr. Kaufman's sterilization idea, which, as the author himself affirms, can only be realized by a German defeat and the military occupation of German territory.

* * *

Surely, Mr. Kaufman must know that all reliable military experts agree that the Allies, to win a complete victory, must invade Germany and that the tremendous man-power needed for such an undertaking must be supplied by America to have any possibility of success.

Except for omitting to explain *how* the victory is to be obtained, Mr. Kaufman gives his readers a comprehensive program to be put into effect the moment Germany surrenders.

(1) "Immediately and completely disarm the German army and have all armaments removed from German territory."

(2) "Place all German utility and heavy industrial plants under heavy guard, and replace German workers by those of Allied nationality."

Points 3 and 4 deal with the sterilization plan.

(5) "Divide the German army (after its sterilization has been completed) into labor battalions, and allocate their services toward the rebuilding of those cities which they ruined.

(6) "Partition Germany and apportion its lands. [The author supplies a map in which Germany is divided up among France, Belgium, Denmark, Holland, Poland, Czechslovakia and Switzerland.]

(7) "Restrict all German civilian travel beyond established borders until all sterilization has been completed.

(8) "Compel the German population of the apportioned territories to learn the language of its area, and within one year to cease the publication of all books, newspapers and notices in the German language, as well as to restrict German-language broadcasts and discontinue the maintenance of German-language schools."

* * *

Mr. Kaufman, moreover, is as adept at publicity as he is at plan-making. The day before reviewers received his book, the mailman brought them miniature black coffins, which, when opened, revealed a card stating, "Read *'Germany Must Perish.'*"

From his methods Mr. Kaufman would appear to be an advertising copy writer. At one time he was, but now he happens to be a lawyer and the owner of a highly profitable theatrical ticket agency in Newark, New Jersey. Formerly he was publisher of the *New Jersey Legal Record.*

In at least one aspect, however, Mr. Kaufman has been consistent: he has always been an ardent "sterilizer." A few years back, while chairman of an organization known as the American Federation of Peace, he advocated the "sterilization of all Americans" to keep their children from being homicidal monsters.

But this idea didn't go well. Mr. Kaufman now hopes to do better by making the Germans his surgical scapegoats.

Germany, says Kaufman, is not only responsible for this war *but for almost all the wars in Europe since the beginning of time.* This is so because "they (the Germans) have *lost the wish to be human beings.* They are but beasts; they must be dealt with as such."

Lawyer Kaufman then alleges that some 15-million Germans may be innocent of the "crimes" of the German majority. But, he adds, "shall 300-million of the most civilized, most enlightened (people) on earth suffer constantly and face unnatural death every generation so that some small part of Germany's populace may continue to exist."

Changing the subject, Mr. Kaufman states: "I have no desire that this work be considered as a means of encouraging war for this or any other nation. As a human being, I deplore war; as a civilized member of a similar nation, I hate it."

Another charge levelled at the Germans by Mr. Kaufman, who is not a Christian, is that Germany has "spurned Christianity."

History and economics are thrown into the discard of Mr. Kaufman's mental ash-can.

There may be beasts in Germany. But Mr. Kaufman's book proves that there is at least one beast mind in the United States.

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

# Why a Food Blockade?

**STUDENTS at many of our Catholic universities and colleges are questioning us on problems which sometimes we fear to answer lest, in doing so, we may appear to injure their respect for certain individuals.**

**Recently, we have been the recipients of many letters originating in many states relative to the silence of these certain individuals on Mr. Hoover's plan for feeding the starving populations of Europe.**

**And with one letter comes a girlish essay from St. Louis University signed by Miss S. L.**

**She is the type of girl who believes in extreme Christianity — and more power to her. Her essay is entitled "*The Food Blockade and Mr. Hoover.*"**

**It reads as follows:**

**"Why a Food Blockade? It has always been the policy of England's navy to maintain a blockade; thus a blockade leads to 'starvation,' its goal. The sad part of it is, that the innocent starve and not the guilty, neutrals and belligerents alike.**

**"This problem of feeding the Norwegians, Sweden, the Finns, Belgium, unoccupied France, Spain and others who are of the same racial groups as ourselves has been questioned in all parts of the world during the past few months and is a problem that cannot be settled by arguments as to who is responsible for world antagonism going on.**

**"The starving people are before us; they are our brothers; they fought, and suffered for democracy. They and their children have a right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, just as we, so far, in America are enjoying.**

**"Is there any truth or reasonable consistency by which we, who still profess Christianity, can say that these starving nations of Europe should be left to perish in order that the war might be won for England or any other nation for that matter?**

**"It seems that in the selfishness of politics or of war, Christians lose sight of those basic ideals that are the foundations of the social as well as the moral order. Our social obligations must go hand in hand with moral conduct as to what is right and just. Does not the Bible say: 'If thy enemy be hungry, give him to eat; if he thirst, give him to drink'?**

**"This unhappy state of world conflict is 'materialistic' and sooner or later leads to destruction. When the World War was over, Mr. Hoover was the only notable person who favored some form of relief for man's inhumanity to man. Something must be done if these people are to be saved, so that posterity may carry on. Why not give Mr. Hoover's plan a trial? It worked for four years during the World War. It can be tried again if there is the 'good will' to do it.**

**"Yes, good will, for Mr. Hoover has at least given the country a plan to work on, one that seems workable, and his request should be granted. The relief committees of Belgium, Holland, Norway and Poland favor his plea to help the starving of Europe. It ought not to be put aside without at least testing the plan of action of the Germans, of the English and of the American people.**

**"Mr. Hoover's plan is that England allow one food ship, one at a time, to go through, so long as the promises are fulfilled; chiefly, that the Germans will not take the domestic products of these people, and will furnish the equivalent of any food taken.**

**"In the last analysis (which should be the first and only analysis), charity, reason, justice, can be and must be used in the adjustment of these differences which confront the world at this time; of which the food blockade is a very vital issue.**

**"Justice, yes, social justice, can remove the cause of social strife, but it is left to Christian charity to bring union of heart and mind."**

## Stop Navy Convoys: Keep U.S. Out of War

*Wire the President Today; Help Him Keep His Pledge*